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Goals

- Understand what a component-based system is
- Understand the difference of component-based and composition-based systems
- Understand the difference of component and composition systems
- What is a composition operator? composition expression? composition program? composition language?
- Understand the difference between graybox and blackbox systems (variability vs. extensibility)
- Understand the ladder of composition systems
- Understand the criteria for comparison of composition systems
Contents

► A little history of software composition
  ■ Comparison criteria for composition
► How it is realized for Invasive Software Composition
► Future software composition systems
Obligatory Reading

- [ISC], Chapter 1, Chapter 2
- Douglas McIlroy's home page
  http://cm.bell-labs.com/who/doug/
  http://cm.bell-labs.com/cm/cs/who/doug/components.txt
A Little History
**Motivation for Component Based Development**

- **Divide-and-conquer (Alexander the Great)**
  - Well known in other disciplines
    - Mechanical engineering (e.g., German VDI 2221)
    - Electrical engineering
    - Architecture

- **Outsourcing to component producers**
  - Components off the shelf (COTS)
  - Goal:
    - Reuse of partial solutions
    - Easy configurability of the systems: variants, versions, product families

- **Mass Produced Software Components [McIlroy]**
  - Garmisch 68, NATO conference on software engineering
  - Every ripe industry is based on components, since these allow to manage large systems
  - Components should be produced in masses and composed to systems afterwards
Mass-produced Software Components

In the phrase `mass production techniques,' my emphasis is on `techniques' and not on mass production plain. Of course mass production, in the sense of limitless replication of a prototype, is trivial for software.

But certain ideas from industrial technique I claim are relevant.
• The idea of subassemblies carries over directly and is well exploited.
• The idea of interchangeable parts corresponds roughly to our term `modularity,' and is fitfully respected.
• The idea of machine tools has an analogue in assembly programs and compilers.

Yet this fragile analogy is belied when we seek for analogues of other tangible symbols of mass production.
• There do not exist manufacturers of standard parts, much less catalogues of standard parts.
• One may not order parts to individual specifications of size, ruggedness, speed, capacity, precision or character set.
Mass-produced Software Components

- Later McIlroy was with Bell Labs,
  - ..and invented pipes, diff, join, echo (UNIX).
  - Pipes are still today the most employed component system!
- Where are we today?
Definitions of Components

A software component is a unit of composition
- with contractually specified interfaces
- and explicit context dependencies only.

A software component
- can be deployed independently and
- is subject to composition by third parties.

(ECOOP Workshop WCOP 1997 Szyperski)

A reusable software component is a
- logically cohesive,
- loosely coupled module
- that denotes a single abstraction. (Grady Booch)

A software component is a static abstraction with plugs. (Nierstrasz/Dami)
Real Component Systems

- Lego
- Square stones
- Building plans
- IC’s
- Hardware bus
- How do they differ from software?
What is a Software Component?

► A component is a container with
  - variation points
  - extension points
  - that are adapted during composition

► A component is a reusable unit for composition

► A component underlies a component model
  - that fixes the abstraction level
  - that fixes the grain size (widget or OS?)
  - that fixes the time (static or runtime?)
What Is A Component-Based System?

- A component-based system has the following divide-and-conquer feature:
  - A component-based system is a system in which a major relationship between the components is **tree-shaped or reducible**.

- Consequence: the entire system can be reduced to one abstract node
  - at least along the structuring relationship

- Systems with layered relations (dag-like relations) are not necessarily component-based.
  - Because they cannot be reduced
What Is A Component-Based System?

- Because of the divide-and-conquer property, component-based development is attractive.
- However, we have to choose the structuring relation
- And, we have to choose the composition model

- Mainly, 2 types of models are known
  - Modular decomposition (blackbox)
  - Separation of concerns (graybox)
Component Systems (Component Platforms)

- We call a technology in which component-based systems can be produced a *component system* or *component platform*.
- A component system has

---

**Component Model**
for description of components

**Composition Technique**
for compositions of components
A composition system has

- Component Model
- Composition Technique
- Composition Language for programming-in-the-large and architecture
The Ladder of Component and Composition Systems

- **Aspect Systems**
  - Aspect Separation
  - Aspect/J

- **View Systems**
  - Composition Operators
  - Composition Filters
  - Hyperslices

- **Software Composition Systems**
  - Composition Language
  - Invasive Composition
  - Metaclass Composition
  - Piccola

- **Architecture Systems**
  - Architecture as Aspect
    - Darwin
    - ACME

- **Classical Component Systems**
  - Standard Components
    - .NET
    - CORBA
    - Beans
    - EJB

- **Object-Oriented Systems**
  - Objects as Run-Time Components
    - C++
    - Java

- **Modular Systems**
  - Modules as Compile-Time Components
    - Modula
    - Ada-85
Desiderata for Flexible Software Composition

► Component Model:
  ■ How do components look like?
  ■ Secrets, interfaces, substitutability

► Composition Technique
  ■ How are components plugged together, composed, merged, applied?
  ■ Composition time (Deployment, Connection, ...)

► Composition Language
  ■ How are compositions of large systems described?
  ■ How are system builds managed?

► Be aware: this list is NOT complete!
Desiderata Component Model

- **CM-M: Modularity**
  - M1 Component secrets (information hiding):
    - Location, way of deployment
    - Component lifetime
    - Component language
    - Explicit specification of interfaces (contact points, exchange points, binding points)
    - Provided and required interfaces
  - M2 Semantic substitutability (conformance, contracts)
    - Syntactic substitutability (typing)
  - M3 Content
    - Component language metamodel

- **CM-P: Parameterization** of components to their reuse context
  - P1 Generic type parameters
  - P2 Generic program elements
  - P3 Property parameterization

- **CM-S: Standardization**
  - S1 Open standards – or proprietary ones
  - S2 Standard components
  - S3 Standard services
Desiderata Composition Technique

CT-C: Connection and Adaptation
- C1: Automatic Component Adaptation: adapt the component interface to another interface
- C2: Automatic Glueing: Generation of glue code for communication, synchronization, distribution. Consists of a sequence of adaptations

CT-E: Extensibility
- E1: Base Class Extension: can base classes be extended?
  - E1.1 Generated factories: can factories be generated
  - E1.2 Generated access layers
- E2: Views. Use-based extensions: Can a use of a component extend the component?
- E3: Integrated Extensions. Can extensions be integrated?

CT-A: Aspect separation
- AS1: Aspect weaving: Extension by crosscutting views
- AS2: Multiple interfaces of a component

CT-S: Scalability (Composition time)
- SC1: Binding time hiding
- SC2: Binding technique hiding

CT-M: Metamodelling
- MM1: Introspection and reflection (metamodel). Can other components be introspected? The component itself?
- MM2: Metaobject protocol: is the semantics of the component specified reflectively?
Desiderata Composition Language

► CL1: Product Consistency
  ■ Variant cleanness: consistent configurations
  ■ Robustness: absence of run-time exceptions

► CL2: Software Process Support
  ■ Build management automation

► CL3: Meta-composition
  ■ Is the composition language component-based, i.e., can it be composed itself?
  ■ Reuse of architectures

► CL4: Architectural styles (composition styles)
  ■ Constraints for the composition
Service Components

A service component is a software component whose location, style of deployment, and name is not known.
- It is described by metadata (attributes)
- [from Greenfield/Short, Software Factories, AWL]
Historical Approaches to Components
The Essence of the 60s-90s: LEGO Software

- Procedural systems
- Modular systems
- Object-oriented technology
- Component-based programming
  - CORBA, EJB, DCOM, COM+, .NET
- Architecture languages

![Diagram of LEGO components and connectors]

**Components**

**Connectors**

**Composition recipe**

**Component-based applications**
Procedure Systems

- Fortran, Algol
- The procedure is the static component
- The activation record the dynamic one
- Component model is supported by almost all chips directly
  - jumpSubroutine -- return
Procedures as Composition System

Component Model
Content: binary code with symbols
Binding points: linker symbols
procedures (with parameters) and
global variables

Composition Technique
Connection by linking object files
Program transformation on object files
Composition time: link-time, static

Composition Language
Modules (Information-Hiding-Based Design a la Parnas)

- Every module hides the an important design decision behind a well-defined interface which does not change when the decision changes.

We can attempt to define our modules “around” assumptions which are likely to change. One then designs a module which “hides” or contains each one.

Such modules have rather abstract interfaces which are relatively unlikely to change.

- Static binding of functional interfaces to each other
- Concept has penetrated almost all programming languages (Modula, Ada, Java, C++, Standard ML, C#)
**A Linker is a Composition Operator**

- Static linkers compose modules at link time
- Dynamic linkers at run time

![Diagram showing the relationship between provided, required, and the linker]

Bound procedure symbols, no glue code
**Modules as Composition System**

**Component Model**
- Content: groups of procedures
- Binding points: linker symbols
- Procedures (with parameters) and global variables

**Composition Technique**
- Connection by linking object files
- Program transformation on object files
- Composition time: link-time, static

**Composition Language**
UNIX Shells and Pipes (McIlroy)

- UNIX shells still offers the most used component paradigm
  - Extremely flexible, simple
  - Communication with byte streams, parsing and linearizing the objects

- Component model
  - Content: unknown (depends on parsing), externally bytes
  - Binding points: stdin/stdout/stderr ports
  - More secrets: distribution, parallelism etc

- Composition technique: manipulation of byte streams
  - Adaptation: filter around other components. Filter languages such as sed, awk, perl
  - Binding time: static, streams are connected (via filters) during composition

- Composition languages
  - C, shell, tcl/tk, python, perl...
  - Build management language makefile
**Shells and Pipes as Composition System**

**Component Model**
- Content: unknown (due to parsing), externally bytes
- Binding points: stdin/out ports
- Secrets: distribution, parallelism

**Composition Technique**
- Adaptation: filter around other components
- Filter languages such as sed, awk, perl
- Binding time: static

**Composition Language**
- C, shell, tcl/tk, python…
- Build management language makefile
- Version management with sccs rcs cvs

Content: unknown (due to parsing), externally bytes
- Binding points: stdin/out ports
- Secrets: distribution, parallelism

Adaptation: filter around other components
- Filter languages such as sed, awk, perl
- Binding time: static

C, shell, tcl/tk, python…
- Build management language makefile
- Version management with sccs rcs cvs
Object-Oriented Systems

- Components: objects (runtime) and classes (compile time)
  - Objects are instances of classes (modules) with unique identity
  - Objects have runtime state
  - Late binding of calls by search at runtime
Object-Oriented Systems

- Component Model
  - Content: code (static) and values (dynamic)
  - Binding points:
    - monomorphic calls (static calls)
    - polymorphic calls (dynamically dispatched calls)

- Composition Technique
  - Adaptation by inheritance or delegation
  - Extensibility by subclassing

- Composition Language: none
Object-Oriented as Composition System

Component Model
Content: binary files, objects
Binding points: static and polymorphic calls (dynamically dispatched calls)

Composition Technique
Adaptation by inheritance or delegation
Extensibility by subclassing

Composition Language
[Pree]: A framework consists of a set of template classes which can be parameterized by *hook classes (parameter classes)*.
O-O Frameworks

- Component Model
  - Binding points: Hot spots to exchange the parameter classes (sets of polymorphic methods)
    - Variation points: 1 out-of n choice
    - Extension points: arbitrarily many extensions

- Composition Technique
  - Same as OO

- Composition language
  - Same as OO
Commercial Component Systems (COTS, Components off the Shelf)

- CORBA/DCOM/.NET/JavaBeans/EJB
- Although different on the first sight, turn out to be rather similar
CORBA
http://www.omg.org/corba

► Language independent, distribution transparent
► interface definition language IDL
► source code or binary

Object Request Broker (ORB), Trader, Services

IDL Stub

IDL Stub

IDL skeleton

Object adapter

Client
Java

Server
C++

Client
C
Microsoft’s model is similar to CORBA. Proprietary
-DCOM is a binary standard
Java Beans
http://www.javasoft.com

- Java only, event-based, transparent distribution by remote method invocation (RMI)
- source code/bytecode-based
.NET
http://www.microsoft.com

- Language independent, distribution transparent
- NO interface definition language IDL (at least for C#)
- source code or bytecode MSIL
- Common Language Runtime CLR
COTS

Component Model
- Content: binary components
- Secrets: Distribution, implementation language
- Binding points are standardized
  - Described by IDL languages
  - set/get properties
  - standard interfaces such as IUnknown (QueryInterface)

Composition Technique
- External adaptation for distributed systems (marshalling) and mixed-language systems (IDL)
- Dynamic call in CORBA

Composition Language
- e.g., Visual Basic for COM
**COTS as Composition System**

**Component Model**
- Content: binary components
- Binding points are standardized
- Described by IDL, Standard interfaces
- Secrets: distribution, language

**Composition Technique**
- Adaptation for distributed systems (marshalling) and mixed-language systems
- Dynamic call in CORBA

**Composition Language**
- VisualBasic for COM
Web Services

- Binding procedure is interpreted, not compiled
- More flexible:
  - When interface changes, no recompilation and rebinding
  - Ubiquitous protocol HTTP
Web Services as Composition System

Component Model
- Content: not important
- Binding points are described by XML
- Binding procedure is interpretation of SOAP
- Secrets: distribution, implementation language

Composition Technique
- Adaptation for distributed systems (marshalling) and mixed-language systems
- Glue: SOAP, HTTP

Composition Language
- WSDL, JAX-WS,
- UDDI, BPEL
Architecture Systems

- Unicon, ACME, Darwin
  - feature an Architecture Description Language (ADL)

- Split an application into:
  - Application-specific part (encapsulated in components)
  - Architecture and communication (in architectural description in ADL)
  - Better reuse since both dimensions can be varied independently
**Component Model in Architecture Systems**

- Ports abstract interface points
  - in(data), out(data)
  - Components may be nested
- Connectors as special communication components

![Diagram of Interface, Port, Role, and Connector]
Architecture can be exchanged independently of components

- Reuse of components and architectures is fundamentally improved
The Composition Language: ADL

- Architecture language (architectural description language, ADL)
  - ADL-compiler
  - XML-Readers/Writers for ADL. XADL is a new standard exchange language for ADL based on XML
- Graphic editing of systems
- Checking, analysing, simulating systems
  - Dummy tests
  - Deadlock checkers
  - Liveness checking
ACME Studio
Architecture Systems as Composition Systems

Component Model
- Source or binary components
- Binding points: ports

Composition Technique
- Adaptation and glue code by connectors
- Scaling by exchange of connectors

Architectural language

Composition Language
What the Composition Language Offers for the Software Process

► Communication
  - Client can understand the architecture graphics well
  - Architecture styles classify the nature of a system in simple terms (similar to design patterns)

► Design support
  - Refinement of architectures (stepwise design, design to several levels)
  - Visual and textual views to the software resp. the design

► Validation: Tools for consistency of architectures
  - Are all ports bound? Do all protocols fit?
  - Does the architecture corresponds to a certain style? Or to a model architecture?
  - Parallelism features as deadlocks, fairness, liveness,
  - Dead parts of the systems

► Implementation: Generation of large parts of the communications and architecture
Blackbox Composition

Components

Connectors

Composition recipe

Component-based applications
The Essence of Blackbox Composition

- 3 Problems in System construction
  - Variability
  - Extensibility
  - Adaptation

- In “Design Patterns and Frameworks”, we learned about design patterns to tackle these problems

- Blackbox composition supports variability and adaptation
  - not extensibility
The Ladder of Composition Systems

- Aspect Systems
  - Aspect Separation
  - Aspect/J
- View Systems
  - Composition Operators
  - Composition Filters
  - Hyperslices
- Software Composition Systems
  - Invasive Composition
  - Metaclass Composition
  - Piccola

Architecture Systems
- Architecture as Aspect
  - Darwin
  - ACME

Classical Component Systems
- Standard Components
  - .NET
  - CORBA
  - Beans
  - EJB

Object-Oriented Systems
- Objects as Run-Time Components
  - C++
  - Java

Modular Systems
- Modules as Compile-Time Components
  - Modula
  - Ada-85
The Ladder of Composition Systems (rev.)

- **Software Composition Systems**
  - Composition Language
  - Invasive Composition
    - Piccola

- **Aspect Systems**
  - Aspect Separation
  - Crosscutting
  - Aspect/J

- **View Systems**
  - Merge
  - Operator
  - Composition Filters
    - Hyperslices

- **Architecture Systems**
  - Architecture as Aspect
  - Darwin
    - ACME

- **Classical Component Systems**
  - Standard Components
  - .NET CORBA
    - Beans
    - EJB

- **Object-Oriented Systems**
  - Objects as Run-Time Components
  - C++
  - Java

- **Modular Systems**
  - Modules as Compile-Time Components
  - Modula
  - Ada-85
Graybox Component Models
The Essence of the Last Years

- View-based Programming
- Aspect-oriented Programming

Component Integration
Component Extension
Aspects in Architecture

Structure

Light plan

Integrated house

Media plan

Water pipe plan
Aspects in Software

Algorithm

Debugging aspect

Weaver-Tool

Persistence aspect

Debugging aspect

Persistence aspect

Debugging aspect
Aspect Weavers Distribute Advice Components over Core Components

- Aspects are *crosscutting*
- Hence, aspect functionality must be *distributed* over the core
Aspect Systems As Composition Systems

Component Model
Core- and aspect components
Aspects are relative and crosscutting
Binding points: join points

Composition Technique
Adaptation and glue code by weaving
Weaving is distribution

Weaving Language

Composition Language
Full-Fledged Composition Systems
Composition Systems

Component Model

Composition Technique
Composition Operators
Black and Gray-Box

Composition Expressions

Composition Language
Composition Systems

- Hyperspace Programming [Ossher et al., IBM]
- Piccola [Nierstrasz et al., Berne]
- Meta-class composition [Forman/Danforth, Cointe]
- Invasive software composition (ISC) [Aßmann]
- Formal calculi
  - Lambda-N calculus [Dami]
  - Pi-L calculus [Lumpe]
Composers Generalize Connectors
(ADL Component Model)

components + composers + variation points

components + connectors + ports
Connectors are Composition Operators

Blackbox connection with glue code

Invasive Connection
Composers Generalize Skeletons (Coordinators)

components + composers + variation points

components + skeletons + ports
Composers Can Be Used For Skeletons (Coordinators)

- Instead of functions or modules, skeletons can be defined over fragment components
- CoSy coordination schemes (ACE compiler component framework www.ace.nl)
  - Compose basic components with coordinating operators
Composers Generalize Inheritance Operators
(Classes as Components)

components + composers + extension points

components + mixin + feature lists
Composers Can Be Used For Inheritance

- Extension can be used for inheritance (mixins)

  inheritance :=
  - copy first super document;
  - extend with second super document;
Composers Generalize View Extensions

components + composers + extension points

↑

components + extend + views
Composers Generalize View-based Extensions

- A core component is extended by a view component
Composers Generalize Aspect Weavers

components + composers + extension points

components + weaver + join points
Composers Generalize Aspect Weavers

- Complex composers *distribute* aspect fragments over core fragments
- *Distributors* extend the core
  - Distributors are more complex operators, defined from basic ones
Weavers As Distributors

Core (Algorithm)

Requirements aspect

Architecture aspect

Testing aspect

Testing

Architecture
Composition Languages

- Composition Languages describe the structure of the system in-the-large (“programming in the large”)
- Composition programs combine the basic composition operations of the composition language

- Composition languages can look quite different
  - Standard languages, such as Java
  - Makefiles

- Enables us to describe large systems

Composition program size  1
System size             10
Conclusions for Composition Systems

► Components have *composition interface*
  - Composition interface is different from functional interface
  - The composition is running usually *before* the execution of the system
  - From the composition interface, the functional interface is derived

► System composition becomes a new step in system build
Steps in System Construction

- We need component models and composition systems on all levels of system construction

  - System composition (System generation)
  - System compilation
  - System deployment
  - System execution
What Have We Learned?
Component-based Systems

- ... are produced by component systems or composition systems
- ... have a central relationship that is tree-like or reducible
- ... support a component model
- ... allow for component composition with composition operators
  - ... and – in the large – with composition languages
- Historically, component models and composition techniques have been pretty different
  - from compile time to run time
- Blackbox composition supports variability and glueing
- Graybox composition supports extensibility
The Ladder of Composition Systems

Architecture Systems
- Architecture as Aspect
  - Darwin
  - ACME

Classical Component Systems
- Standard Components
  - .NET
  - CORBA
  - Beans
  - EJB

Object-Oriented Systems
- Objects as Run-Time Components
  - C++
  - Java

Modular Systems
- Modules as Compile-Time Components
  - Modula
  - Ada-85