

22) Generic Programming with Generic Components

Prof. Dr. Uwe Aßmann
Technische Universität Dresden
Institut für Software- und
Multimediatechnik
<http://st.inf.tu-dresden.de>
Version 12-1.0, Juni 6, 2012



1. Full Genericity in BETA
2. Universal Genericity with Slot Markup Languages
3. Semantic Macros
4. Template Metaprogramming
5. Evaluation

Obligatory Reading

- ▶ Invasive Software Composition, Chapter 6
- ▶ [BETA-DEF] The BETA language. Free book. <http://www.daimi.au.dk/~beta/Books/>. Please, select appropriate parts.
- ▶ Bent Bruun Kristensen, Ole Lehrmann Madsen, and Birger Møller-Pedersen. 2007. The when, why and why not of the BETA programming language. In *Proceedings of the third ACM SIGPLAN conference on History of programming languages (HOPL III)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10-1-10-57. DOI=10.1145/1238844.1238854 <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1238844.1238854>



Literature

- ▶ BETA home page <http://www.daimi.au.dk/~beta/>
- ▶ [BETA-ENV] J. Lindskov Knudsen, M. Löfgren, O. Lehrmann Madsen, B. Magnusson. Object-Oriented Environments. The Mjølner Approach. Prentice-Hall, 1994. Great book on BETA and its environment. Unfortunately not available on the internet.
- ▶ Ole Lehrmann Madsen. The Mjølner BETA fragment system. In [BETA-ENV]. See also <http://www.daimi.au.dk/~beta/Manuals/latest/yggdrasil>
- ▶ GenVoca: Batory, Don. Subjectivity and GenVoca Generators. In Sitaraman, M. (ed.). proceedings of the Fourth Int. Conference on Software Reuse, April 23-26, 1996, Orlando Florida. IEEE Computer Society Press, pages 166-175
- ▶ [CE00] K. Czarnecki, U. Eisenecker. Generative Programming. Addison-Wesley, 2000.
- ▶ J. Goguen. Principles of Parameterized Programming. In Software Reusability, Vol. I: Concepts and Models, ed. T. Biggerstaff, A. Perlis. pp. 159-225, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- ▶ [Hartmann] Falk Hartmann. Falk Hartmann. Safe Template Processing of XML Documents. PhD thesis. Juli 2011, Technische Universität Dresden, Fakultät Informatik. <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:14-qucosa-75342>
- ▶ [Arnoldus] Jeroen Arnoldus, Jeanot Bijpost, and Mark van den Brand. 2007. Repleo: a syntax-safe template engine. In Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Generative programming and component engineering (GPCE '07). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 25-32. DOI=10.1145/1289971.1289977 <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1289971.1289977>
- ▶ The boost C++ library project <http://www.boost.org/>




22.1 Full Genericity in BETA




Generic Components

- ▶ A **generic component** is a *template* from which other components can be generated
 - Generic components rely on *bind* operations that bind the template parameter with a value (*parameterization*)
 - The result is called the *extent*
 - A *generic class* is a special case, in which types are parametric
- ▶ A **fully generic language** is a language, in which all language constructs can be generic
 - Then, the language need to have a *metamodel*, by which the parameters are typed


Binding Templates As Sequence of Compositions



Generic Programming is a Composition Technique Relying on the Bind Operator (Parameterization)



Composition Level



BETA Fragment Metaprogramming System


- ▶ BETA is a modern object-oriented language, developed in the North
 - BETA definition [BETA]
 - BETA programming environment Mjölner 1994 [BETA-ENV]
- ▶ Features
 - Single inheritance
 - Classes and methods are unified to *patterns (templates)*
 - Classes are instantiated statically, methods dynamically
 - Fully generic language
 - Environment is controlled by BETA grammar
 - Extension of the grammar changes all tools
 - BETA metaprogramming system *Yggdrasil*
 - Separate compilation for all sentential forms of the grammar (all fragments generatable by the grammar)
 - Essentially, a BETA module is a *generic fragment* of the language
 - BETA is a better LISP, supports *typed metaprogramming*



The Component Model of BETA

- The basic module in the BETA system is a *fragment*
 - Plain Fragment:** Sentential form, a partial sentence derived from a nonterminal
 - Generic Fragment** (fragment form, template): Fragment that still contains nonterminals (*slots*)
 - Fragment Group** (fragment box): Set of fragments

```
define fragment component PersonTemplate = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  Person : PatternDecl
  Person : begin
    PersonMembers : begin
      name : @String
      <<EmployerSlot : Attribute>>
    end
  end
end
```



BETA Fragments

- A **fragment** is a sequence of terminals, derived from a nonterminal in a grammar
- Example:
 - Z ::= Address Salary .
 - Address ::= FirstName SecondName Street StreetNr Town Country.
 - Salary ::= int.
- Then, the following ones are fragments:
 - Uwe Assmann Rudolfstrasse 31 Frankfurt Germany
 - 34
- But a complete sentence is
 - Uwe Assmann Rudolfstrasse 31 Frankfurt Germany 34
- A fragment can be given a *name*
 - MyAddress: Uwe Assmann Rudolfstrasse 31 Frankfurt Germany

Generic Fragments

- A **generic fragment** (*fragment form, sentential form*) is a sequence of terminals and nonterminals, derived from a nonterminal in a grammar
- Example:
 - Uwe Assmann <Strasse> Frankfurt Germany
 - MyAddress: Uwe Assmann <Strasse> Frankfurt Germany
- In BETA, the “left-in” nonterminals are called *slots*

Binding a Slot of a Generic Fragment in BETA

```
define fragment component PersonTemplate = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  Person : PatternDecl
  Person : begin
    PersonMembers : begin
      name : @String
      <<EmployerSlot : Attribute>>
    end
  end
}
```

```
define fragment component PersonFiller = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonFiller'
  origin '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  EmployerSlot: Attribute
  EmployerSlot: begin
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
}
```



```
Person : PatternDecl
Person : begin
  PersonMembers : begin
    name : @String
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
end
```

Binding a Slot of a Generic Fragment in BETA

Done implicitly by name binding

```
define fragment component PersonTemplate = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  Person : PatternDecl
  Person : begin
    PersonMembers : begin
      name : @String
      <<EmployerSlot : Attribute>>
    end
  end
end
}
```

```
define fragment component PersonFiller = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonFiller'
  origin '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  EmployerSlot: Attribute
  EmployerSlot: begin
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
}
```



```
Person : PatternDecl
Person : begin
  PersonMembers : begin
    name : @String
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
end
```

Prof. U. Alßmann, CBSE

13

Binding a Slot Seen as a Composition in BETA

Can be done explicitly by calling the **bind** composition operator

```
define fragment component PersonTemplate = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  Person : PatternDecl
  Person : begin
    PersonMembers : begin
      name : @String
      <<EmployerSlot : Attribute>>
    end
  end
}
```

```
define fragment component PersonFiller = {
  name '/home/assmann/PersonFiller'
  origin '/home/assmann/PersonTemplate'
  EmployerSlot: Attribute
  EmployerSlot: begin
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
}
```

```
fragment Person = PersonTemplate.
  EmployerSlot.bind(PersonFiller);
```

```
Person : PatternDecl
Person : begin
  PersonMembers : begin
    name : @String
    employer: @Employer;
    salary: Integer
  end
end
```

Prof. U. Alßmann, CBSE

14

Generic Statements in BETA Syntax

```
Component methodComponent = cs.createGenericComponent();
Hook statement = methodComponent.findSlot("MY");
if (StdoutVersion) {
  statement.bind("System.out.println("Hello World");");
} else {
  statement.bind("FileWriter.println("no way");");
}
```

```
public print() {
  <<MY:Statement>>;
}
```

```
public print () {
  System.out.println("Hello World");
}
```

```
public print () {
  FileWriter.println("no way");
}
```

Prof. U. Alßmann, CBSE

15

BETA Fragment Groups

- ▶ A **fragment group** is a group of sentential forms, derived from the same nonterminal:

```
standardLoopIterators : {
  Upwards: for (int i = 0; i < array.<<len:Function>>; i++)
  Downwards: for (int i = array.<<len:Function>>-1; i >= 0; i--)
}
```

len:Function

```
standardLoopIterators : {
  Upwards: for (int i = 0; i < array.<<len:Function>>; i++)
  Downwards: for (int i = array.<<len:Function>>-1; i >= 0; i--)
}
```

Prof. U. Alßmann, CBSE

16

Implicit Binding also works in BETA Fragment Groups

- ▶ Fragments can be combined with others by reference (*implicit* bind operation)
- ▶ Given the following fragments:

```
len : { size() }  
standardLoopIterators : {  
  Upwards: for (int i = 0; i < array.<<len:Function>>; i++)  
  Downwards: for (int i = array.<<len:Function>>-1; i >= 0; i--)  
}  
LoopIterators : standardLoopIterators, len
```

- ▶ The reference binds all used slots to defined fragments. Result:

```
LoopIterators : {  
  Upwards: for (int i = 0; i < array.size(); i++)  
  Downwards: for (int i = array.size()-1; i >= 0; i--)  
}
```

Advantages

- Fine-grained *fragment component model*
 - The slots of a beta fragment form its *parameterization interface*
 - The BETA compiler can compile all fragments separately
 - All language constructs can be reused
 - Type-safe composition with composition operation *bind-fragment*
 - Mjølner metaprogramming environment is one of the most powerful software IDE in the world (even after 15 years)

Full genericity: A language is called *fully generic*, if it provides genericity for every language construct.



Inclusion of Fragments into Fragment Groups

- ▶ Fragments can be inserted into others by the *include* operator
 - ▶ Given the above fragments and a new one
- ```
whileloopbody : WHILE <<statements:statementList>> END;
```
- ▶ a while loop can be defined as follows:

```
whileloop:
 include LoopIterators.Upwards
 whileloopbody
```


- ▶ BETA is a fully generic language:
  - Modular reuse of all language constructs
  - Separate compilation: The BETA compiler can compile every fragment separately
  - Much more flexible than ADA or C++ generics!

## Evaluating BETA as a Composition System

- ▶ BETA's fragment combination facilities use as composition operations:
  - An *implicit bind* operation (fragment referencing by slots)
  - An inclusion operation (concatenation of fragments)
- ▶ Hence, BETA's composition language is rather simple, albeit powerful



## Generic Components (Templates) Bind at Compile Time




## 22.2 Universal Genericity with Slot Markup Languages



## Slots (Declared Hooks)

**Slots** are declared variation points of fragments.


**Slots (declared hooks) are declared by the component writer as code parameters**



## Different Ways to Declare Slots

Slots are denoted by metadata. There are different alternatives:

- ▶ Language extensions with **new keywords**
  - SlotDeclaration ::= 'slot' <Construct> <slotName> ';
  - In BETA, angle brackets are used:
  - SlotDeclaration ::= '<<' SlotName '!' Construct '>>'
- ▶ **Markup Tags in XML:**
  - <superclasshook> X </superclasshook>
- ▶ Standardized Names (**Hungarian Notation**)
  - class Set extends *genericXSuperClass* { }
- ▶ **Comment Tags**
  - class Set /\* @superClass \*/
- ▶ **Meta-Data Attributes**
  - Java: @superclass(X)
  - C#: [superclass(X)]




## Defining Generic Types with XML Markup

[Hartmann] showed that any XML language can be enriched by a **slot markup language** to define slots

Slot markup languages use **hedge symbols** to demarcate template and slot (BETA: << >>, XML: < >)


[Arnoldus] did the same for textual languages



## Generic Modifiers in XML Markup Syntax

Slot markup languages may contain elements of a composition language, i.o.g., control flow structures

A **slot program** expands the slot to a fragment [Hartmann]



## Universal Genericity with Slot Markup Languages

Do not use string template engines, they render development error-prone

Use slot markup languages to exploit their typing

With appropriate hedge symbols, a slot markup language can be combined with a base language [Hartmann]

### Principle of universal genericity:

With slot markup separated by appropriate hedge symbols, any language may have typed generic components, as well as full genericity.

## 22.3 Semantic Macros

## Semantic Macros (Hygienic Macros)

- ▶ Usually, macros are string-replacement functions (lambdas)
- ▶ Macro arguments can be typed by nonterminals (as in BETA; builds on the typed lambda calculus)

```
function makeExpression(Left:Expression, Op:Operator,
 Right:Expression):Expression {
 return Left ++ Op ++ Right; // ++ is AST concatenation
}
function incr(a:Expression):Expression {
 return makeExpression(1,+,a); }
function sqr(a:Expression):Expression {
 return makeExpression(a,*,a); }
i:int = eval(incr(2));
// result: i == 3;
k:int = eval(sqr(10));
// result k == 100;
```



## Comparing Semantic Macros and Slot Markup Languages

Semantic Macros use the functional application symbols () as hedge symbols, i.e., are better integrated with the host language

- Like slot programs they expand in-place

Semantic Macros are better reusable, because they have a name

- Slot programs are anonymous lambdas



## 22.4 Template Metaprogramming and Layered Template Metaprogramming

The poor man's generic programming



## Template Metaprogramming

- ▶ Template Metaprogramming [CE00] is an attempt to realize the generic programming facilities of BETA in C++
  - C++ has templates, i.e., parameterized expressions over types, but is not a fully generic language
  - C++ template expressions are Turing-complete and are evaluated at compile time
  - C++ uses class parameterization for composition
- ▶ Disadvantage: leads to unreadable programs, since the template concept is being over-used
- ▶ Advantage: uses standard tools
- ▶ Widely used in the
  - C++ Standard Template Library STL
  - boost library [www.boost.org](http://www.boost.org)
- Should be replaced by full genericity (generic fragments) or semantic macros



# Template Metaprogramming in C++

```

template <int N>
struct fact {
 enum { value = N * fact<N-1>::value };
};

template <>
struct fact<1> {
 enum { value = 1 };
};


std::cout << "5! = " << fact<5>::value << std::endl;

```

More advanced examples in [CE00]




# Generic Classes (Class Templates) Bind At Compile Time



# Layered Template Metaprogramming with GenVoca

- ▶ GenVoca: Composition by Nesting of Generic Classes [Batory]
- ▶ Use nesting of templates parameters to parameterise multiply
  - Every nesting level is called a *layer*
  - Every layer describes a configuration/composition dimension



Template  $T < T1 < T2 < T3 >, T4 < T5 > >>$


all  $T_i$  can be exchanged independent of each other, i.e., configured! (static composition)

Dimension/layer



# Embodiment View

- ▶ GenVoca components are parameterizable in layers. A layer has a nesting depth





## GenVoca

- ▶ Applications
  - Parameterizing implementations of data structures
  - Synchronization code layers
- ▶ Interesting parameterization concept
  - Not that restricted as C++ templates: nested templates are a simpler form of GenVoca
  - Maps to context-free grammars. A single configuration is a word in a context-free language
  - Many tools around the technique
- ▶ However: parameterization is the only composition operator, there is no full composition language
- ▶ more in “Design Patterns and Frameworks”



## The End

- Do not use string template engines, they render development error-prone
- Use slot markup languages and semantic macros to exploit their typing
- Look out for languages with full genericity



## 22.5 Evaluating BETA Fragments, TMP, GenVoca as Composition Systems

