25. Declarative Aspect Weaving with Cross-Cut Graphs and Graph Rewriting

Prof. Dr. Uwe Aßmann

Technische Universität Dresden

Institut für Software- und Multimediatechnik

http://st.inf.tu-dresden.de

Version 12-0.9, 2012-06-19

Literature

► Uwe Aßmann and Andreas Ludwig. Introducing Connections into Classes with Static Metaprogramming. In Paolo Ciancarini and Alexander Wolf, editors, 3rd Int. Conf. on Coordination, volume 1594 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 371-383. Springer, Heidelberg, April 1999.

  – google for it.

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

25.1 Aspect-Oriented Development

► Motivation: Separation of Concerns

  – a new kind of modularization

  – separation of cross-cutting code parts

► Technique: Integration by (Static) Weaving

  – Based on Crosscut Graphs

Examples for Aspects:

  – Synchronization

  – Communication

  – Instrumentalization

  – Memory Management

Aspect-Oriented Development

Motivation

AOSD/AOP aims at different problem domains...

.. weaving requires different specification languages.

A new weaver for every weave scenario!

Weavers are compilers... Weaving can become complicated...

We need a uniform and formal technique to

   classify and specify AOP weavers.

**Idea: Programs and models can be represented as typed graphs (abstract syntax graphs)...**

Describe aspect weaving as cross-cut graphs

Produce the cross-cut graphs by declarative graph-rewriting

Classes of AOP Systems

▶ Script-based AOP (e.g. *RG, AspectJ, InjectJ*)

   – aspects are modification rules

▶ Language-based AOP (e.g. *D, AML*)

   – aspects are specialized languages

▶ Declarative AOP

   – crosscut graphs are described by a declarative language

   – e.g., logic-based AOP

▶ Graph-rewriting-based AOP

   – rewriting rules combine aspect fragments

Core + Aspect Graphs

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

7

Rewrite Rule

Host
Graph

Redex

Derivation

25.2 AOP as GRS

Join Point: Redex in core graph

Aspect Fragment: Redex in Aspect Graph

Aspect Composer: Graph Rewrite Rule

Weave Operation: Direct Derivation

Weaver: Graph Rewrite System with

- a set of aspect composers,
- a component graph, and
- a set of aspect graphs (context-sensitive rules).

Example

Task: prepend statements to method entries

Example (2)

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

methods

methods

methods

Crosscut
graph

methods

entry

methods

entry

# *Benefits*

- ► Handling of all kinds of aspects possible
  - – all we need is abstract syntax of programs or models
- ► Universally for all languages
- ► Uniform specification allows a classification of aspect weaving systems
- ► Certain classes of rewrite systems guarantee

  - – **termination**

  - – **confluence** (= deterministic results)

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

▶ Edge-addition rewrite system (EARS)
  – always congruent (= terminating + confluent)
  – weaving operation becomes a function
▶ Ideal for simple property aspects
  – e.g. persistency, synchronization, ...

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

Category II: Aspect-Additive Rules

- ▶ if it is an eXhaustive Graph Rewrite System (XGRS) and does not modify the redex
  - – always congruent (= terminating + confluent)
- ▶ Ideal for orthogonal aspect code
  - – e.g. Adaptive Programming
- ▶

Category III: Core-Modifying Rules

▶ Exchange parts of cores.

▶ Confluence and termination are not guaranteed.

▶ Indeterminism is acceptable if all normal forms are semantically equivalent.

Special Category:
Aspects in Rules

► Aspect fragments are part of the right-hand sides.

► Similar to script-based AOP.

► Ideal for aspects with finite variability (because of finite set of rules).

- ▶ Intra-core rules
  - – rewrite the component graph only
  - – resemble standard code motion optimizations
- ▶ Ideal for optimizing aspect weavers.
  - – e.g. RG (Reverse Graphics of Xerox)

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

Comparison

| System	terminating	deterministic	aspect graph
Aspect Fragment Matching			
Aspect-relating	yes	yes	yes
Aspect-additive	if exhaustive	yes	yes
Core-modifying	if exhaustive	usually not	yes
Aspects in Rules	depends	depends	no
Intra-Core	depends	depends	no

Aspect Weaving is similar to Program Optimization

▶ Graph rewriting can express many program optimizations uniformly [Aßm96].

▶ Optimizations transform programs.

▶ Weavers transform programs.

So:

Graph rewriting can express many aspect weavings uniformly.

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

▶ [Alexander Christoph, PhD 2004, University of Karlsruhe]

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

Program data + weaving rules → Optimix

generates

Core Code

Weaver

generates

Aspect Code

Program

Conclusion

- ► GRS provide a **uniform** and **formal** way to specify and classify aspect weavings.

- ► Tool support for weavers.

- ► Open question:
  - How much of AOP can be covered by this approach?

- ► Alternative approaches:
  - Prolog based pointcut specifications
  - Query-based pointcut specifications

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE

The End

▶ Several slides are courtesy to Dr. Andreas Ludwig.

Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE