

26) Invasive Software Composition (ISC)

Prof. Dr. Uwe Aßmann

Florian Heidenreich

Technische Universität Dresden

Institut für Software- und
Multimediatechnik

<http://st.inf.tu-dresden.de>

Version 13-1.1, July 5, 2013



1. Invasive Software Composition - A Fragment-Based Composition Technique
2. What Can You Do With Invasive Composition?
3. Universally Composable Languages
4. Functional and Composition Interfaces
5. Different forms of grey-box components
6. Evaluation as Composition Technique




Obligatory Literature

- ▶ ISC book Chap 4
- ▶ www.the-compost-system.org
- ▶ www.reuseware.org




Other References

- [AG00] Uwe Aßmann, Thomas Genßler, and Holger Bär. Meta-programming Grey-box Connectors. In R. Mitchell, editor, Proceedings of the International Conference on Object-Oriented Languages and Systems (TOOLS Europe). IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, June 2000.
- [HLLA01] Dirk Heuzeroth, Welf Löwe, Andreas Ludwig, and Uwe Aßmann. Aspect-oriented configuration and adaptation of component communication. In J. Bosch, editor, Generative Component-based Software Engineering (GCSE), volume 2186 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, Heidelberg, September 2001.
- Jakob Henriksson. A Lightweight Framework for Universal Fragment Composition. Technische Universität Dresden, Dec. 2008 <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:14-ds-1231261831567-11763>
- Jendrik Johannes. Component-Based Model-Driven Software Development. Technische Universität Dresden, Dec. 2010 <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:14-qucosa-63986>
- [Jendrik Johannes](#) and [Uwe Aßmann](#), Concern-Based (de)composition of Model-Driven Software Development Processes. Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems - 13th International Conference, MODELS 2010, 2010, Part II, Springer, 2010, LNCS 6395, URL = <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16129-2>
- Falk Hartmann. Safe Template Processing of XML Documents. PhD thesis. Technische Universität Dresden, July 2011.






Invasive Software Composition

- Adds a full-fledged composition language to generic and view-based programming
- Combines architectural systems, generic, view-based and aspect-oriented programming




26.1) Invasive Software Composition - A Fragment-Based Composition Technique





Software Composition







Invasive Software Composition

Invasive software composition **parameterizes** and **extends**
fragment components
at **change points (hooks and slots)**
by transformation

- ▶ A **fragment component** is a fragment group (fragment container, fragment box) with a **composition interface** of **change points**
- ▶ Uniform container for
 - a fragment
 - a class, a package, a method
 - a fragment group
 - an advice or an aspect
 - some metadata
 - a composition program
 - A generic fragment (group)





The Component Model of Invasive Composition


Change points of a fragment component are fragments or positions, which are subject to change

- ▶ Fragment components have change points
- ▶ A *change point* can be
 - An *extension point* (*hook*)
 - A *variation point* (*slot*)
- ▶ Example:
 - Extension point: method entries/exits
 - Variation point: Generic parameters



Hooks


- ▶ A **hook (extension point)** is given by the component's language
- ▶ Hooks can be *implicit* or *explicit (declared)*
 - We draw implicit hooks *inside* the component, at the border
- ▶ Example: Method Entry/Exit
- ▶ Between hooks and their positions in the code, there is a **hook-fragment mapping**





A Hook can Relate to Many Code Points


- ▶ A hook can relate to many code points (1:n-hook-fragment mapping)
- ▶ Example: Method Exit refers to n code points *before* return statements





Slots (Declared Hooks)

- ▶ A **slot** is a variation point of a component, i.e., a code parameter
- ▶ Slots are most often *declared*, i.e., declared or explicit hooks, which must be declared by the component writer
 - They are implicit only if they designate one single program element in a fragment
 - We draw slots as crossing the border of the component
- Between slots and their positions in the code, there is a **slot-fragment mapping**






The Composition Technique of Invasive Composition

**Invasive Software Composition
parameterizes and extends
fragment components
at implicit and declared change points (hooks and
slots)
by transformation**

**An invasive composition operator treats
declared and implicit hooks uniformly**

The Composition Technique of Invasive Composition


- ▶ A *composer* (composition operator) is a static metaprogram (program transformer)






Object-Oriented Metamodeling of Composers

- In the following, we assume an object-oriented metamodel of fragment components, composers, and composition languages.
- The COMPOST library [ISC] has such a metamodel (in Java)
- Composers work on Composables (Changepoints or Boxes)



Bind Composer Universally Parameterizes Fragment Components

- Like in BETA, for uniformly generic components




```
<<mod:Modifier>>  
m () {  
    abc..  
    <<mid:Statement>>  
    cde..  
}
```

```
synchronized m () {  
    abc..  
    f();  
    cde..  
}
```

```
Box component = readBoxFromFile("m.java");  
component.findHook(„mod“).bind(“synchronized”);  
component.findHook(„mid“).bind(“f();”);
```

Extend Operator Universally Extends the Fragment Components




```
m (){\n  abc..\n  cde..\n}
```



```
m (){\n  print(\\\"enter m\\");\n  abc..\n  cde..\n  print(\\\"exit m\\");\n}
```

```
component.findHook(\\\"MethodEntry\\").extend(\\\"print(\\\"enter m\\\")\\");\ncomponent.findHook(\\\"MethodExit\\").extend(\\\"print(\\\"exit m\\\")\\");
```





Merge Operator Provides Universal Symmetric Merge

- The **Extend** operator is asymmetric, i.e., extends hooks of a fragment component with new fragment values
- Based on this, a symmetric **Merge** operator can be defined:
$$\text{merge}(\text{Component } C1, \text{Component } C2) := \text{extend}(C1.\text{list}, C2.\text{list})$$
- where list is a list of inner components, inner fragments, etc.
- Both extend and merge work on fragments
 - Extend works on all collection-like language constructs
 - Merge on components with collection-like language constructs

Applied to Classes, Invasive Extension Integrates Feature Groups

- ▶ The Extend operator integrates feature groups and roles into classes (role merge)
 - ▶ because a feature group can play a role
- ▶ The semantics of invasive extension lies between inheritance and delegation



On the Difference of Declared and Implicit Hooks

- ▶ Invasive composition unifies generic programming (BETA) and view-based programming (merge composition operators)
 - By providing *bind* (parameterization) and *extend* for all language constructs

```
/* @genericMYModifier */ public print() {  
    // <<MethodEntry>>  
    if (1 == 2)  
        System.out.println("Hello World");  
    // <<MethodExit>>  
    return;  
else  
    System.out.println("Bye World");  
    // <<MethodExit>>  
    return;  
}
```

```
Hook h = methodComponent.findHook("MY");  
if (parallel)  
    h.bind("synchronized");  
else  
    h.bind(" ");  
methodComponent.findHook("MethodEntry").bind("");  
methodComponent.findHook("MethodExit").bind("");
```

```
synchronized public print () {  
    if (1 == 2)  
        System.out.println("Hello World");  
    return;  
else  
    System.out.println("Bye World");  
    return;  
}
```



You Need Invasive Composition

- ▶ When static relations have to be adapted
 - Inheritance relationship: multiple and mixin inheritance
 - Delegation relationship:;When delegation pointers have to be inserted
 - Import relationship
 - Definition/use relationships (adding a definition for a use)
 - When templates have to be expanded in a type-safe way
- ▶ When physical unity of logical objects is desired
 - Invasive extension and merges roles into classes
 - No splitting of roles, but integration into one class
- ▶ When the resulting system should be highly integrated
 - ▶ When views should be integrated constructively



When To Use What?

- ▶ Deploy Inheritance
 - for consistent side-effect free composition

- ▶ Deploy Delegation
 - for dynamic variation
 - Suffers from object schizophrenia

- ▶ Deploy Invasive Extension
 - for non-foreseen extensions that should be *integrated*
 - to develop aspect-orientedly
 - to adapt without delegation



Composition Programs

Basically, every language may act as a composition language, if its basic operators are *bind* and *extend*.

Imperative languages: Java (used in COMPOST), C, ..

Graphical languages: boxes and lines (used in Reuseware)

Functional languages: Haskell

Scripting languages: TCL, Groovy, ...

Logic languages: Prolog, Datalog, F-Datalog

Declarative Languages: Attribute Grammars, Rewrite Systems



Homogeneous Composition Systems


A composition system is called **homogeneous**, if it employs the same composition language and component language.


Otherwise, it is called **heterogeneous**

In a homogeneous composition system, metacomposition is staged composition.

A **point-cut language (cross-cut language)** is a form of composition language.

26.2) *What Can You Do With Invasive Composition?*






Invasive Composition

Adds a full-fledged composition language to generic and view-based programming



Combines architectural systems, generic, view-based and aspect-oriented programming





Universally Generic Programming


- ISC is a fully generic approach
- In contrast to BETA, ISC offers a full-fledged composition language
- Generic types, modifiers, superclasses, statements, expressions,...
- Any component language (Java, UML, ...)





Universal Constructive View Programming


- ISC is a uniform and universal view-programming approach
 - The Extend operator realizes open definitions for *all* language constructs: methods, classes, packages
 - The Merge operator realizes symmetric composition for all language constructs
- Additionally, ISC offers a full-fledged composition language





Invasive Connections

- In contrast to ADL, ISC offers invasive connections [AG00]
- Modification of inheritance relations possible



Blackbox connection with glue code

Invasive Connection


Prof. U. Aßmann, CBSE




Invasive Architectural Programming

[ISC] shows how *invasive connectors* achieve tightly integrated systems by embedding the glue code into senders and receiver components

Separation of Topological from Transfer Aspect




Topological Connection




Transfer Selection




Transfer Selection




Connection A




Connection B





Port Binding State Diagram






Gate Objects: Glue Separate





Invasive Connection


Embedding communication gate methods into a class





Invasive Connection


Embedding glue code into sender methods






Universal Inheritance and Mixins

- ▶ Extension can be used for inheritance and mixins
- ▶ In contrast to OO languages, ISC offers tailored inheritance operations, based on the extend operator



- **inheritance** :=
 - copy first super class
 - extend with second super class
- **mixin_inheritance** :=
 - Bind superclass reference

Mixin Inheritance Works Universally for Languages that don't have it






- ▶ Invasive composition can model mixin inheritance uniformly for all languages
- ▶ e.g., for XML
- ▶ inheritance :=
 - copy first super document
 - extend with second super document




Invasive Document Composition for XML

- ▶ Invasive composition can be used for document languages, too [Hartmann2011]
- ▶ Example List Entry/Exit of an XML list
- ▶ Hooks are given by the Xschema





Hook Manipulation for XML




```
<UL>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
</UL>
```


```
<UL>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
  <LI>... </LI>  
</UL>
```

```
XMLcomponent.findHook(„ListEntry“).extend(„<LI>... </LI>“);  
XMLcomponent.findHook(„ListExit“).extend(„<LI>... </LI>“);
```


Universal Weaving for AOP (Core and Aspect Components)




- ▶ Complex composers distribute aspect fragments over core fragments
- ▶ *Distributors* extend the core
- ▶ Distributors are more complex operators, defined from basic ones
- ▶ Static aspect weaving can be described by distributors, because hooks are static
 - ▶ ISC does not have a dynamic joinpoints
 - ▶ Crosscut specifications can be interpreted



Distributors are Composition Programs



Distributors Weave Relations between Core and Aspect





Invasive Model Composition with Reuseware

Editor
specification

26.3 Reuseware, a Meta-Composition System to Build Composition Systems

Universally Composable
Languages with for universal genericity and extension





Universally Composable Languages

Universally composable: A language is called *universally composable*, if it provides universal genericity and universal extensibility


- The language has to be enriched with an invasive component model

Reuse language: Given a metamodel of a *core* language L , a metamodel of a universally composable language can be generated (the Reuse- L)

- The Reuse language describes the composition interfaces of the components, an important part of the component model
- The component model can be composed by metamodel composition

Slot and Hook model: added (or even generated) from the core language metamodel


- realizes universal composability by defining *slots* and *hook constructs*, one for each construct in the core language






Structure of a Universally Composable Language


- The core and the reuse language have two levels






Reuse-UML, a Universally Composable Language


- .. an extension of UML with slot and hook model






Reuse-XML, a Universally Composable Language


- .. an extension of XML with slot and hook model






Reuse-XML, a Universally Composable Language

- .. an extension of XML with slot and hook model





The Reusewair Technology

- www.reuseware.org (Phd of Jakob Henriksson, 2008)
- *Reusewair* was the world-wide first technology and tool to build reuse languages (component models) and composition systems for *text-based languages*
 - Grammar-based (EBNF)
 - Generic strategy for applying composition operators on components (based on Design Pattern Visitor)
 - Composition tools, type checker, come for free



The Reuseware Tool


- www.reuseware.org (Phd of Jendrik Johannes, 2010)
- *Reuseware* is a tool to build reuse languages (component models) and composition systems for *text-based* and *diagramm-based* languages
 - Eclipse-based
 - metamodel-controlled (metalanguage M3: Eclipse e-core)
 - Plugins are generated for composition
 - Composition tools come for free
 - Textual, graphic, XML languages
- Framework instantiation is supported for variation and extension
- Jobs open!



The SkAT Tool

- Phd of Sven Karol, 2014?
- SkAT is a tool to build reuse languages (component models) and composition systems for *text-based* and *diagram-based* languages
 - Based on Reference-Attribute-Grammar (RAG)
 - And metamodels (metalanguage M3: Eclipse e-core)
 - Declarative composition constraints control the composition
 - Composition tools come for free
 - Textual, graphic, XML languages
- Framework instantiation is supported for variation and extension
- Jobs open!

26.4) Staging: Composition and Functional Interfaces










Composition vs Functional Interfaces

Composition interfaces contain hooks and slots

static, based on the component model at design time


Functional interfaces are based on the component model at run time and contain slots and hooks of it





Functional Interfaces are Generated from Composition Interfaces


2-stage process





Execution of a Composition Program

- ▶ A composition program transforms a set of fragment components step by step, binding their composition interfaces (filling their slots and hooks), resulting in an integrated program with functional interfaces





The Stages of ISC

- Produces code from fragment components by parameterization and expansion
- The run-time component model fits to the chip

Stage-0
Composition level
language: Java

Stage-1
language: binary
machine language

**Fragment
component model**

**Runtime
component model
(objects)**



**Code Fragment
Components**



**Runtime
components**



Component Models on Different Levels in the Software Process

Standard COTS models are just models for binary code components

Stage-0
Composition level
language: Java

Stage-1
language: binaries
and linker

Stage-2
language: machine
language

Fragment
component model

Generic COTS
component model

Run time
component model

Code Fragment
Components

COTS
components

Run time
components





Component Models on Different Levels in the Software Process

Another stage can be introduced by *XML model composition* from which Java code is generated [Johannes 10]

Stage-0
Composition level
language: UML

Stage-1
Composition level
language: Java

Stage-2
language: binaries
and linker

Stage-3
language: machine
language

UML Model
Fragment
component model

Fragment
component model

Generic COTS
component model

Run time
component model


UML Model
Fragment
Components

Code Fragment
Components

COTS
components

Run time
components









Staging

- With a universal composition system as Reuseware, stages can be designed (stage design process)
- For each stage, it has to be designed a universally composable language:
 - component models
 - composition operators
 - composition language
 - composition tools (editors, well-formedness checkers, component library etc.)

26.5) *Different Forms of Greyboxes (Shades of Grey)*





Invasive Composition and Information Hiding



- ▶ Invasive Composition modifies components at well-defined places during composition
 - There is less information hiding than in blackbox approaches
 - But there is...
 - ... that leads to greybox components




Refactoring is a Whitebox Operation

- ▶ Refactoring works directly on the AST/ASG
- ▶ Attaching/removing/replacing fragments
- ▶ Whitebox reuse

**Refactorings
Transformations**









Modifying Implicit Hooks is a Light-Grey Operation


- ▶ Aspect weaving and view composition works on implicit hooks (*join points*)
- ▶ *Implicit composition interface*

Composition with implicit hooks



Refactorings Transformations







Parameterization as Darker-Grey Operation

- ▶ Templates work on *declared hooks*
- ▶ *Declared composition interface*

**Composition
with declared
hooks**




**Refactorings
Transformations**




Systematization Towards Greybox Component Models


Composition with declared hooks




Composition with implicit hooks




Refactorings Transformations




Refactoring Builds On Transformation Of Abstract Syntax





Invasive Composition Builds On Transformation Of Implicit Hooks



Invasive Composition Builds On Transformation on Declared Hooks




26.6 *Invasive Software Composition as Composition Technique*






Invasive Composition: Component Model

- ▶ Fragment components are graybox components
 - Composition interfaces with declared hooks
 - Implicit composition interfaces with implicit hooks
 - The composition programs produce the functional interfaces
 - Resulting in efficient systems, because superfluous functional interfaces are removed from the system
 - Content: source code
 - binary components also possible, poorer metamodel
- ▶ Aspects are just a special type of component
- ▶ Fragment-based parameterisation a la BETA
 - Type-safe parameterization on all kinds of fragments



Invasive Composition: Composition Technique

- ▶ Adaptation and glue code: good, composers are program transformers and generators
- ▶ Aspect weaving
 - Parties may write their own weavers
 - No special languages
- ▶ Extensions:
 - Hooks can be extended
 - Soundness criteria of lambdaN still apply
 - Metamodelling employed
- ▶ Not yet scalable to run time



Composition Language

- ▶ Various languages can be used
- ▶ Product quality improved by metamodel-based typing of compositions
- ▶ Metacomposition possible
 - Architectures can be described in a standard object-oriented language and reused
- ▶ An *assembler* for composition
 - Other, more adequate composition languages can be compiled




Conclusions for ISC

- ▶ Fragment-based composition technology
 - Graybox components
 - Producing tightly integrated systems
- ▶ Components have *composition interface*
 - From the composition interface, the functional interface is derived
 - Composition interface is different from functional interface
 - Overlaying of classes (role model composition)
- COMPOST framework showed applicability of ISC for Java
 - (ISC book)
- Reuseware Composition Framework extends these ideas
 - For arbitrary grammar-based languages
 - For metamodel-based languages
- <http://reuseware.org>



Invasive Composition as Composition System





What Have We Learned

- ▶ With the uniform treatment of declared and implicit hooks and slots, several technologies can be unified:
 - Generic programming
 - Connector-based programming
 - View-based programming
 - Inheritance-based programming
 - Aspect-based programming
 - Refactorings



The End