

# 3. Modelling Dynamic Behavior with Petri Nets

1

Prof. Dr. U. Aßmann

Technische Universität Dresden

Institut für Software- und  
Multimediatechnik

Gruppe Softwaretechnologie

<http://st.inf.tu-dresden.de>

Version 12-1.0, 10/17/12

1) Basics

1) Elementary Nets

2) Colored Petri Nets

2) Patterns in Petri Nets

3) Refactorings

4) Composability of Colored Petri Nets

5) Parallel Composition with CPN

6) Application to modelling



# Obligatory Readings

2

- ▶ Balzert 2.17
- ▶ Or Ghezzi Chap 5
- ▶ or (not enough in Pfleeger):
- ▶ W.M.P. van der Aalst and A.H.M. ter Hofstede. Verification of workflow task structures: A petri-net-based approach. Information Systems, 25(1): 43-69, 2000.
- ▶ Kurt Jensen, Lars Michael Kristensen and Lisa Wells. Coloured Petri Nets and CPN Tools for Modelling and Validation of Concurrent Systems. Software Tools for Technology Transfer (STTT). Vol. 9, Number 3-4, pp. 213-254, 2007.
- ▶ J. B. Jörgensen. Colored Petri Nets in UML-based Software Development – Designing Middleware for Pervasive Healthcare. [www.pervasive.dk/publications/files/CPN02.pdf](http://www.pervasive.dk/publications/files/CPN02.pdf)
- ▶ Web portal “Petri Net World” <http://www.informatik.uni-hamburg.de/TGI/PetriNets/>

# Literature

3

- ▶ K. Jensen: Colored Petri Nets. Lecture Slides <http://www.daimi.aau.de/~kjensen> Many other links and informations, too
  - [www.daimi.aau.dk/CPnets](http://www.daimi.aau.dk/CPnets) the home page of CPN. Contains lots of example specifications. Very recommended
- ▶ K. Jensen, Colored Petri Nets. Vol. I-III. Springer, 1992-96. Landmark book series on CPN.
- ▶ T. Murata. Petri Nets: properties, analysis, applications. IEEE volume 77, No 4, 1989.
- ▶ W. Reisig. Elements of Distributed Algorithms – Modelling and Analysis with Petri Nets. Springer. 1998.
- ▶ W. Reisig, G. Rozenberg: Lectures on Petri Nets I+II, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1491+1492, Springer.
- ▶ J. Peterson. Petri Nets. ACM Computing Surveys, Vol 9, No 3, Sept 1977
- ▶ [http://www.daimi.au.dk/CPnets/intro/example\\_indu.html](http://www.daimi.au.dk/CPnets/intro/example_indu.html)

# Relationship of PN and other Behavioral Models

4

- ▶ P.D. Bruza, Th. P. van der Weide. The Semantics of Data-Flow Diagrams. Int. Conf. on the Management of Data. 1989
  - <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.40.9398>
- ▶ E.E.Roubtsova, M. Aksit. Extension of Petri Nets by Aspects to Apply the Model Driven Architecture Approach. University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands
- ▶ Other courses at TU Dresden:
  - Entwurf und Analyse mit Petri-Netzen
  - Lehrstuhl Alg. u. log. Grundlagen d. Informatik
  - Dr. rer. nat. W. Nauber
  - <http://wwwtcs.inf.tu-dresden.de/~nauber/eapn10add.html>

# Goals

5

- ▶ Understand untyped and Colored Petri nets (CPN)
- ▶ Understand that CPN are a verifiable and automated technology for safety-critical systems
- ▶ PN have subclasses corresponding to finite automata and data-flow graphs
- ▶ PN can be refined, then reducible graphs result

# The Initial Problem

6

- ▶ You work for PowerPlant Inc. Your boss comes in and says:

Our government wants a new EPR reactor, similarly, in the way Finland has it. How can we produce a verified control software? We need a good modelling language. Assembler would be too bad...

UML does not work...

How do we produce software for safety-critical systems?

# Interesting Projects with Safety-Critical, Parallel Embedded Software

7

## ▶ Arial

- The WITAS UAV unmanned autonomously flying helicopter from Linköping  
[http://www.ida.liu.se/~marwz/papers/ICAPS06\\_System\\_Demo.pdf](http://www.ida.liu.se/~marwz/papers/ICAPS06_System_Demo.pdf)

## ▶ Automotive

- Prometheus: driving in car queues on the motorway
  - <http://www.springerlink.com/content/j06n312r36805683/>

## ▶ Trains

- [www.railcab.de](http://www.railcab.de) Autonomous rail cabs
- [www.cargocab.de](http://www.cargocab.de) Autonomous cargo metro
  - [http://www.cargocap.de/files/cargocap\\_presse/2005/2005\\_01\\_12%20kruse.pdf](http://www.cargocap.de/files/cargocap_presse/2005/2005_01_12%20kruse.pdf)
- <http://www.rubin-nuernberg.de/> Autonomous mixed metro

# Application Areas of Petri Nets

8

- ▶ Model introduced by C.A. Petri in 1962.
  - Ph.D. Thesis: "Communication with Automata".
  - Over many years developed within GMD (now Fraunhofer, FhG)
  - PNs describe explicitly and graphically: Conflict/non-deterministic choice, concurrency
- ▶ Reliable software (quality-aware software)
  - PetriNets can be checked on deadlocks, liveness, fairness, bounded resources
- ▶ Safety-critical software that require proofs
  - Control software in embedded systems or power plants
- ▶ User interface software
  - Users and system can be modeled as separate components
- ▶ Hardware synthesis
  - Software/Hardware co-design



# Application Area I:

## Behavior Specifications in UML

9

- ▶ Instead of describing the behavior of a class with a statechart, a CPN can be used
- ▶ CPN have several advantages:
  - They model parallel systems naturally
  - They are compact and modular, can be reducible
  - They lend themselves to aspect-oriented composition, in particular of parallel protocols
  - They can be used to generate code, also for complete applications
  - UML statecharts, data flow diagrams, and activity diagrams are special instances of CPN
- ▶ Informal: for CPN, the following features can be proven
  - Liveness: All parts of the net do never get into a dead lock, i.e., can always proceed
  - Fairness: all parts of the net are equally “loaded” with activity
  - K-boundedness: the data that flows through the net is bound by a threshold
  - Deadlock-freeness: the net does not stop (deadlock)

# Application Area II: Contract checking (Protocol Checking) for Components

10

- ▶ Petri Nets describe behavior of components (dynamic semantics)
  - They can be used to check whether components fit to each other
- ▶ Problem: General fit of components is undecidable
  - The protocol of a component must be described with a decidable language
  - Due to complexity, context-free or -sensitive protocol languages are required
- ▶ Algorithm:
  - Describe the behavior of two components with two CPN
  - Link their ports
  - Check on *liveness* of the unified CPN
  - If the unified net is not live, components will not fit to each other...
- ▶ Liveness and fairness are very important criteria in safety-critical systems

# 3.1 Basics of PN

11

## Petri Net Classes

Predicate/Transition Nets: simple tokens, no hierarchy.

Place-Transition Nets: multiple tokens

High Level Nets: structured tokens, hierarchy

There are many other variants, e.g., with timing constraints



# Language Levels

12

- ▶ PN extend finite automata with indeterminism
  - Asynchronous execution model (partial ordering)

CH-0 computable

CH-1 context sensitive

CH-2 context free

CH-3 regular

**Petri Nets**


**Algebraic Specifications**

**Finite state machines are PN with finite reachability graph**

# Elementary Nets: Predicate/Transition Nets

13


- ▶ A **Petri Net (PN)** is a directed, bipartite graph over two kinds of *nodes*, namely *places* (circles) and *transitions* (bars or boxes)
- ▶ An *elementary PN* is with boolean tokens, i.e., one token per place (bound of place = 1)
  - aka basic, predicate/transition nets (PTN), condition/Event nets
  - The presence of a token in a place means that the condition or predicate is true
  - The *firing* of a transition means that from the input predicates the output predicates are concluded
  - Thus elementary PN can model simple forms of logic



# Integer Place/Transitions-Nets

14

- ▶ An *integer PN* is a directed, weighted, bipartite graph over places and transitions with *integer* tokens, i.e., places may contain several tokens, and a *capacity* (*bound* =  $k$ )
  - $k$  tokens in a place indicate that  $k$  data items are available
  - $M(p)$  is the number of tokens in place  $p$
- ▶ A *marking* assigns to each place a nonnegative integer
  - A marking is denoted by  $M$ , an  $m$ -vector where  $m$  is the number of places.
  - A PN has a *initial marking*,  $M_0$ .
- ▶ Arcs have *cardinalities* (*weights*) to show how many tokens they transfer





Here: initial marking  $M_0(2,2,0)$

# Formal Transition Enabling and Firing

15

In a PN a state is changed according to the following *transitions firing rule*:

- ▶ A transition  $t$  is *enabled* if
  - each input place  $p$  of  $t$  is marked with at least  $w(p,t)$  tokens, where  $w(p,t)$  is the weight of the arc from  $p$  to  $t$
  - The output place can be filled
- ▶ An enabled transition may or may not fire.
- ▶ A *firing* of an enabled transition removes  $w(p,t)$  tokens from each input place  $p$  to  $t$ , and adds  $w(t,p)$  tokens to each output place  $p$  of  $t$ , where  $w(t,p)$  is the weight of the arc from  $t$  to  $p$ .




- (a)  $t$  is enabled.  
(b)  $t$  has been fired.

# High-Level Nets

16

- ▶ A high-level PN (colored PN) allows for *typed* places and arcs
  - For types, any DDL can be used (e.g., UML-CD)
- ▶ High-level nets are modular
  - Places and transitions can be refined
  - A Colored Petri Net is a reducible graph
- ▶ The upper layers of a reducible CPN are called *channel agency nets*
  - Places are interpreted as channels between components





# 3.1.1 Elementary Nets (Predicate/Transition Nets)

18



# Meaning of Places and Transitions in Elementary Nets

19

- ▶ Predicate/Transition (Condition/Event-, State/Transition) Nets:
  - Places represent conditions, states, or predicates
  - Transitions represent the firing of events:
    - if a transition has one input place, the event fires immediately if a token arrives in that place
    - If a transition has several input places, the event fires when all input places have tokens
- ▶ A transition has *input* and *output* places (pre- and postconditions)
  - The presence of a token in a place is interpreted as the condition is true

# Formal Definition of a Place/Transition Net

20

- ▶ A PN is a 5-tuple,  $P = (P, T, F, W, M_0)$  with

$$P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$$

is a finite set of places,

$$T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$$

is a finite set of transitions,

$$F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$$

is a set of arcs (flow relation),

$$W : F \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

is a weight function,

$$M_0 : P \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

is the initial marking,  
(if  $\text{img}(P) = \{0, 1\}$ , we have a  
elementary net, otherwise  
an integer net)

$$P \cap T = \emptyset, P \cup T \neq \emptyset$$


A PN structure  $N = (P, T, W)$  without any specific initial marking is denoted  $N$

A PN with the given initial marking is denoted by  $(N, M_0)$

# Example of 2 Robots as Predicate/Transition Net

21

Prof. U. Alßmann, Softwaretechnologie II




# Example of 2 Robots as Predicate/Transition Net

22

- ▶ Places represent predicates; tokens show validity

Prof. U. Alßmann, Softwaretechnologie II



## 3.1.2 Special Nets


23






# Marked Graphs (MG)

24

- ▶ A *Marked Graph (MG)* is an PN such each place is the input to only one transition and the output of only one transition. MG provide *deterministic parallelism without confusion*
  - Then the places can be abstracted (identified with one flow edge)
  - Transitions may split and join, however
- ▶ Marked Graphs correspond to a special class of data-flow graphs (Data flow diagrams with restricted stores, DFD)
  - Transitions correspond to processes in DFD, places to stores
  - States can be *merged* with the ingoing and outgoing arcs → DFD without stores
  - Restriction: Stores have only one producer and consumer
  - But activities can join and split
- ▶ All theory for CPN holds for marked graph - DFD, too [BrozaWeide]
- ▶ Bsp. Robot is a DFD (but not the assembly line):





Prof. U. Alsmann, Softwaretechnologie II



# More General Data-Flow Diagrams

25

- ▶ General DFD without restriction can be modeled by PN, too. Then, places cannot be abstracted; they correspond to stores with 2 feeding or consuming processes
- ▶ Example: the full robot has places with 2 ingoing or outgoing edges, they cannot be abstracted






# For DFD, Many Notations Exist

26



- ▶ Notation from Structured Analysis [Balzert]



# State Machines are PN with Cardinality Restrictions

27




- ▶ A *Finite State Machine* PN is an elementary PN such that each transition has only one input and one output place
  - Then, it is equivalent to a finite automaton or a *statechart*
  - From every class-statechart that specifies the behavior of a class, a State Machine can be produced easily
    - Flattening the nested states
  - Transitions correspond to transitions in statecharts, states to states
  - Transitions can be *merged* with the ingoing and outgoing arcs
  - In a FSM there is only one token
- ▶ All theory for CPN holds for Statecharts, too
- ▶ Ex. Robot is an FSM (but not with incoming data flow):



# Hierarchical StateCharts from UML

28

- ▶ States can be nested in StateCharts
- ▶ This corresponds to StateMachine-PN, in which states can be refined and nested



## 3.1.2 Colored Petri Nets as Example of High Level Nets

30

Modularity, Refinement,  
Reuse

Preparing “reducible graphs”



# Colored Petri Nets, CPN

31

- ▶ Colored (Typed) Petri Nets (CPN) refine Petri nets:
  - Tokens are typed (colored)
  - Types are described by data structure language, such as Java, ML, UML class diagrams
  - but may also be data dictionaries, grammars
  - Concept of time can be added
- ▶ Full tool support
  - Fully automated code generation in Java and ML (in contrast to UML), e.g., DesignCPN of Aarhus University <http://www.daimi.aau.dk>
  - Prover proofs features about the PN
  - Net simulator allows for debugging
- ▶ Much better for safety-critical systems than UML, because proofs can be done

# Annotations in CPN

32


- ▶ Places are annotated by
  - Token types
    - `(STRING x STRING)`
  - Markings of objects and the cardinality in which they occur:
    - `2' ("Uwe", "Assmann")`
- ▶ Edges are annotated by
  - Type variables which are unified by unification against the token objects
    - `(X, Y)`
  - Guards
    - `[ X == 10 ]`
  - if-then-else statements
    - `if X < 20 then Y := 4 else Y := 7`
  - switch statements
  - boolean functions that test conditions

# CPN are Modular

33


- ▶ A subnet is called a *page (module)*
  - Every page has ports which mark in- and out-going transitions (into a place) or in- and outgoing places (into a transition)
- ▶ *Transition page*: interface contains transitions (transition ports)
- ▶ *Place page (state page)*: interface contains place (place ports)
- ▶ *Net class*: a named page that is a kind of "template" or "class"
  - It can be instantiated to a net "object"
- ▶ Reuse of pages and templates possible
  - Libraries of CPN "procedures" possible

# Robots with Transition Pages, Coupled by Transition Ports





# Robots with Place (State) Pages, Coupled by Replicated State Ports



# CPN are Hierarchical

36


- ▶ Places and transitions may be hierarchically **refined**
  - Two pointwise refinement operations:
    - Replace a transition with a transition page
    - Replace a state with a state page
  - Refinement condition: Retain the embedding (embedding edges)
- ▶ CPN can be arranged as hierarchical graphs (reducible graphs, see later)
  - Large specifications possible, overview is still good
  - Subnet stemming from refinements are also place or transition pages

# Point-wise Refinement Example

37

## ► Pointwise refinement:


- *Transition refining page:* refines a transition, transition ports
- *Place refining page (state refining page):* refines a place, place ports



**Law of syntactic refinement:** The graph interface (attached edges) of a refined node must be retained by the refining page.

# Region (Hyperedge) Refinement Example

- 38 ▶ Hyperedges and regions in PN can be refined



**Law of syntactic region refinement:**  
The graph interface (attached edges) of a refined region must be retained by the refining region.

# Industrial Applications of CPN

39

- ▶ Large systems are constructed as reducible specifications
- ▶ ..have 10-100 pages, up to 1000 transitions, 100 token types
- ▶ Example: ISDN Protocol specification
  - Some page templates have more than 100 uses
  - Corresponds to millions of places and transitions in the expanded, non-hierarchical net
  - Can be done in several person weeks

## 3.2 Patterns in Petri Nets

40

Analyzability:  
Petri Nets can be analyzed for patterns (by  
pattern matching)

# Modelling of Parallelism and Synchronization

41

Petri Nets have a real advantage when parallel processes and synchronization must be modelled

Many concepts can be expressed as *PN patterns*



# Simple PN Buffering Patterns

42





Permanently live transition  
generating objects  
(object source)

Permanently live transition  
deleting/consuming objects  
(object sink)



Reservoir Place  
(does not generate  
objects)

Archive of objects



Process; sequentialization; action




Intermediate archive (buffer)




# Parallelism Patterns

43




Replication and distribution of objects; forking off parallelism (AND-split)




Forking off parallelism indeterministically (conflict, XOR split)

Joining parallelism synchronization barrier (AND-join)




Collecting objects from parallel processes (OR-join)




# Examples for Building Blocks

Synchronization barrier




Bridges: Transitions between phases




# Patterns for Parallelism

Coupling processes with parallel continuation




Producer/Consumer with buffer (CSP channel)




# Semaphores For Mutual Exclusion

Binary or counting semaphores: depends on the capacity of the semaphore place



# Dining Philosophers

47



# Advantage

48

- ▶ Patterns can be used to model specific requirements
- ▶ PN can be checked for patterns by Pattern Matching (Graph Rewriting)
  - Patterns can be restructured (refactorings)
  - Patterns can be composed (composition)
- ▶ Further semantic analysis of PN: Parallel, indeterministic systems can be checked for
  - Absence of deadlocks: will the parallel system run without getting stuck?
  - Liveness: will all parts of the system work forever?
  - Fairness: will all parts of the system be loaded equally?
  - Bounded resources: will the system use limited memory, and how much? (important for embedded systems)
  - Whether predicates hold in certain states (model checking)

# 3.3 Refactorings (Reduction Rules) for Petri Nets


49

.. in the form of graph  
rewrite rules

# Special Restructuring Patterns (Refactorings)

50


- ▶ *Source transitions* are always enabled, i.e., generate tokens (*token generator*)
- ▶ *Sink transitions* are always enabled and swallow tokens (*token sink*)
- ▶ A *self-loop* is a pair of a place  $p$  and a transition  $t$  if  $p$  is both output and input place of  $t$ 
  - A PN without any self-loops is *pure*. Its arc relation is irreflexive






# Simple Reduction Rules

51




1) Fusion of Series Places (FSP)  
(Bridge elimination)



2) Fusion of Series Transitions (FST)  
(Intermediate buffer elimination)

# Simple Reduction Rules


52



3) Fusion of Parallel Places (FPP) 4) Fusion of Parallel Transitions (FPT)

# Simple Reduction Rules


53



5) Elimination of Self-loop Places (ESP) 6) Elimination of Self-loop Transitions (EST)

All transformations preserve liveness, safeness and boundedness.

# 3.4 Composability of CPN



54



# Case Study for Composition: Pervasive Healthcare Middleware (PHM)


55

- ▶ in development at the Pervasive Computing Center, University of Aarhus
- ▶ Basic idea:
  - Specify the structure of an application with UML
  - and the behavior with CPN, describing the behavior of the classes/objects (object lifecycle)
  - Glue behavior together with page glueing mechanism
- ▶ Electronic patient records (EPR) replace the papers
  - First version in 2004, on stationary PC
  - Next versions for pervasive computing (PDA, wireless):
    - Hospital employees will have access to the patient's data wherever they go, from Xray to station to laboratories
  - For instance, medication plans are available immediately

# The PHM Architecture

56


- ▶ A *session* is entered by several mobile devices that collaborate



# Session Manager Use Cases


57

- ▶ The *session manager* manages all mobile devices that collaborate in a certain scenario



# Class Diagram Session Manager


58





# Sequence Diagram Session Manager


59



# Session Manager Top-Level CPN

60


- ▶ Double arrows indicate that arrows run in both directions
- ▶ Basic Types
  - `Session ::= SessionId DeviceList LockType`
  - `ConfiguredDevice ::= Device Viewer Controller`



# Configuration Manager Page

61


- ▶ Page is fused along common names of nodes



# Lock Manager Page

62


## Lock Manager



# View Manager Page

63

Prof. U. Alßmann, Softwaretechnologie II



- ▶ The CPN pages are attached to UML classes, i.e., describe their behavior
  - States and transitions are marked by UML types
- ▶ Every subpage is coupled to others (composed with others)
  - via common states (*fusing/join states*)
    - The union of the pages via join states is steered by OR, i.e., the pages add behavior, but do not destroy behavior of other pages
  - Via common transitions (*fusing/join transitions*)
    - The union of the pages via join transitions is steered by AND, i.e., the pages add behavior and synchronize with transitions of other pages
- ▶ Transitions are interpreted as coarse-grain events
  - On the edges, other functions (actions) are called
  - Hence, CPN are *open*: if something is too complicated to model as a PN, put it into functions


# Coupling of Place and Transition Pages

65

- ▶ **Port state coupling (or fuse, merge, composition):** Place pages are coupled to other place pages via common states (port states)
  - The union of the pages is steered by OR, i.e., the pages add behavior, but do not destroy behavior of other pages
- ▶ **Port transition coupling:** Transition pages are coupled to other transition pages via common transitions (port transitions)
  - The union of the pages is steered by AND, and every page changes the behavior of other page
  - Events must be available on every incoming edge of a transition
  - The transitions of the combined net only fire if the transitions of the page components fire


# Robots with State Pages, Coupled by Replicated State Ports

66






# A Robot OR-composed View



Robot works if it gets piece A OR B


# Robots with Transition Pages, Coupled by Transition Ports

68



# A Robot AND-composed view

69



Prof. Dr. A. Deschamps  
Software




# Advantages of CPN for the PHM

70

- ▶ The PHM is a distributed and mobile scenario
  - Devices can fail (battery empty, wireless broken, etc)
  - The resulting CPN can be checked on deadlock, i.e., will the PHM session manager get stuck?
- ▶ Compact specification
  - Usually, CPN are much more compact than statecharts
- ▶ Variability
  - The pages are modular, i.e., can be exchanged for variants easily (e.g., other locking scheme)

# 3.4 Parallel Composition of Colored Petri Nets



71



# Parallel composition of PN

72

- ▶ Complex synchronization protocols can be abstracted to a pattern (als called transition page or a place page)
- ▶ When joining PN with AND (i.e., joining transition pages), synchronization protocols can be overlaid to existing sequential specifications

# Unforeseeable Extensible Workflows

73

- ▶ Workflows are described by Colored Petri Nets (CPN) or languages built on top of CPN:
  - YAWL language [van der Aalst]
  - Workflow nets
- ▶ We can use the extension of CPN for workflow composition, enriching a workflow *core* with a workflow *aspect*:
  - **Place extension (State extension):** adding more edges in and out of a place (state):
    - OR-based composition: Core OR view: Core-place is ORed with Aspect-Place
  - **Transition extension (Activity extension):** adding more edges in and out of a transition (activity)
    - AND-based composition: Core-transition is ANDed with Aspect-transition

# Weaving Patterns for Synchronization Protocols with AND Composition

74


- Complex synchronization protocols can be abstracted to a transition page
- Weaving them with AND, they can be overlaid to existing sequential specifications



# Semaphores For Mutual Exclusion Revisited

75


- Forms a synchronisation aspect via ANDed Lock transitions



# Transaction Protocols as AND-Aspects

76

- ▶ Crosscut between processes (cores) and transaction protocol (aspect)



# Insight

77

- ▶ AND-Merge and OR-Merge of CPN are sufficient basic composition operators for building complex aspect weavers for workflow languages built on CPN

AND-weaving for synchronization

OR-weaving for functional extension

# 3.5 The Application to Modelling

78



# Petri Nets Generalize UML Behavioral Diagrams

79

## Activity Diagrams

- ▶ Activity Diagrams are similar to PN, but not formally grounded
  - Without markings
  - No liveness analysis
  - No resource consumption analysis with boundness
  - No correspondence to UML statechart, although for PN holds that PN with finite reachability graphs correspond to finite automata
- ▶ I.e., it is difficult to prove something about activity diagrams, and difficult to generate (parallel) code from them.

## Data-flow diagrams

- ▶ DFD are special form of activity diagrams, and correspond to Marked Graphs


## Statecharts

- ▶ Finite automata are restricted form of Petri nets
- ▶ The hierarchical structuring in Statecharts is available in High-Level Petri Nets (e.g., CPN)

# Petri Nets Generalize UML Sequence Diagrams

80

- ▶ The object life lines of a sequence diagram can be grouped into state such that a PN results
- ▶ All of a sudden, liveness conditions can be studied
  - Is there a deadlock in the sequence diagram?
  - Are objects treated fair?



# A Simple Modelling Process for Safety-Critical Software with CPN

81

- ▶ **Elaboration:** Identify active and passive parts of the system
  - Active become transitions, passive to places
- ▶ **Elaboration:** Find the relations between places and transitions
- ▶ **Elaboration:** How should the tokens look like: boolean? Integers? Structured data?
  - Use UML class diagrams as token type model
- ▶ **Restructure:** Group out subnets to separate "pages"
- ▶ **Refactor:** Simplify by reduction rules
- ▶ **Verify:** Analyse the specification on liveness, boundedness, reachability graphs, fairness. Use a model checker to verify the CPN
- ▶ **TransformRepresentation:** Produce views as statecharts, sequence, collaboration, and activity diagrams..

# How to Solve the Reactor Software Problem?

82

- ▶ Specify with UML and CPN
  - Verify it with a model checker
  - Let a prototype be generated
  - Test it
  - Freeze the assembler
- ▶ Then, verify the assembler, because you should not trust the CPN tool nor the compiler
  - Any certification agency in the world will require a proof of the assembler!
- ▶ However, this is much simpler than programming reactors by hand...



# The Gloomy Future of PN

83

- ▶ PN will become the major tool in a future CASE tool or integrated development environment
  - Different views on the PN: state chart view, sequence view, activity view, collaboration view!
- ▶ Many isolated tools for PN exist, and the world waits for a full integration into UML
- ▶ CPN will be applied in scenarios where parallelism is required
  - Architectural languages
  - Web service languages (BPEL, BPMN, ...)
  - Workflow languages
  - Coordination languages

# The End

84

- ▶ Thanks to Björn Svensson for help in making slides, summarizing [Murata]