Bitcoin, Ethereum und andere Blockchains: Was steckt dahinter? Wie wenden wir sie an?

Dr. Stephan Murer Murer Consulting GmbH stephan.murer@ggaweb.ch

Objectives

- Understand key concepts in computer science behind cryptocurrencies
 - Hash functions and hash pointers
 - Hashed data structures (blockchain, Merkle tree)
 - Digital signature
 - Distributed consensus (byzantine agreement)
- Understand how cryptocurrencies work on the example of Bitcoin
 - Double spending problem
 - Probabilistic consensus, proof-of-work
- Generalize concept of currency transaction to more flexible agreements (smart contracts) in Ethereum
- Towards the holy grail: Scalable, distributed consensus among large numbers of non-permissioned users with immediate finality (no forks) in Algorand
- Understand the breadth of possible applications and their economic importance

Textbook



- Many concepts from there
- ISBN: 9780691171692

Agenda

1. Cryptocurrency fundamentals

- 2. Bitcoin transaction data on the blockchain
- 3. Ethereum the programmable blockchain
- 4. Algorand scalable distributed consensus & immediate finality
- 5. Applications & Market

Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Mathematical function
- Input: String of any size
- Output: Fixed-side bit array (256 bit in the examples)
- Efficiently computable: Computation time grows linearly with string length.
- Running time: O(n), for string length = n

h := H(s) s: arbitrary length string h: fixed length hash

Hash Functions Properties



Collision resistant: Hard to find two values x and y, such that $x \neq y$, yet H(x) = H(y).



 $H(k \parallel x) = y$

Hiding: A hash function H is said to be hiding if when a secret value r is chosen from a probability distribution that has high min-entropy, then, given $H(r \parallel x)$, it is infeasible to find x.

Puzzle friendliness: A hash function H is said to be puzzle friendly if for every possible n-bit output value y, if k is chosen from a distribution with high min-entropy, then it is infeasible to find x such that $H(k \parallel x) = y$ in time significantly less than 2ⁿ.

Hash Pointers



Blockchain: Tamper-evident log



• Blockchain: Linked list with hash pointers



- Hash pointer pointing to that block from block k+1 is wrong
- Hash pointers pointing to previous blocks are part of block data
- Therefore: All hash pointers of succeeding blocks are wrong, including head of list
- Head of list hash pointer is all needed to check integrity of blockchain



- Merkle Tree: Binary tree with hash pointers
- Same tamper evidence property as blockchain
- log(n) proofs of (non-) membership: Provide neigbouring hashes as proof

Digital Signatures

Digital signature scheme with three algorithms:

- 1. (sk, pk) := generateKeys(keysize)
- 2. sig := sign(sk, message)
- 3. isValid := verify(pk, message, sig)

Two properties:

- 1. verify(pk, message, sign(sk, message)) == true
- 2. Signatures are existentially unforgeable

Existential forgery: Given pk, a pair (message, sig) can be constructed, that looks like signed with sk, although sk is unknown, RSA, eg. is existentially forgeable, however content of message not controllable

- Public Key Cryptography offers methods with the desired properties
- Best known: RSA, Bitcoin uses Elliptic Curve Cryptography
- Better properties: shorter keys, existential unforgeability
- Very dependent on good source of randomness

Public keys as Identities

- Public key (pk) used as identity
- Check signatures directly against identities
- New identity easily generated with generatekeys()
- In practice H(pk) used as identity, because public keys are long
- Basically random numbers, nothing that ties to your real identity (although using identity will leak real identity), identity quickly changeable
- Decentralized identity management: Everyone just generates identity
- Identities unique, as there are so many possible public keys
- Pseudonymity by using many identities

Agenda

- 1. Cryptocurrency fundamentals
- 2. Bitcoin transaction data on the blockchain
- 3. Ethereum the programmable blockchain
- 4. Algorand scalable distributed consensus & immediate finality
- 5. Applications & Market

Simple Cryptocurrency



- Goofy creates coin by a unique coin id
- Only Goofy creates coins, his pk is universally known
- Owner of coin signs transaction record with recipient and hash pointer to either creation record or transaction record with owner as receiver
- Complete history of ownership changes, tamper evident due to hash pointer structure
- Problem: Double spending, what if Alice signs two transaction records, both spending the same coin
- Despite showing some of the key structures of cryptocurrencies, Goofycoin can't deal with double spending, not good enough!

Bitcoin Transaction Representation

<pre>"hash":"f4184fc596403b9d6387 "ver":1, "vin_sz":1, "vout_sz":2, "lock_time":0, "size":275,</pre>	83cf57adfe4c75c605f6356f	bc91338530e9831e9e16",	Metadata
"in":[{ "prev_out":{ "hash":"0437cd7f8525ceed "n":0 }	2324359c2d0ba26006d92d85	6a9c20fa0241106ee5a597c9",	nputs
<pre>}, "scriptSig":"" }], "out":[</pre>	Bitcoins with 8 digits behind decimal point precision, smallest unit Satoshi		_
<pre>{</pre>	Bitcoin script: Hash o public key of signer plus operation.	f	Outputs
]			

Scroogecoin: Central Authority Deals with Double Spending



- Similar transactions like in Goofycoin, but all transactions stored in append-only blockchain, each block signed by Scrooge
- Blockchain assures append only property
- Easy to check integrity by looking at hash pointer
- Scrooge checks integrity of transactions (double spending), and only signs blocks with valid transactions

Byzantine Generals



Coordinated Attack Leading to Victory

Uncoordinated Attack Leading to Defeat

https://cdn-images-1.medium.com/max/800/0*-xCD-El4LZ48dji1.png, 15.3.2017

Leslie Lamport, Robert Shostak, and Marshall Pease. "The Byzantine Generals Problem" ACM TOPLAS, 4(3):382-401, 1982.

Distributed Consensus

Distributed consensus protocol: n nodes, each with input value, some faulty, some malicious. Protocol with following properties:

- Must terminate with all honest nodes in agreement on the value
- Value must have been generated by honest node

Many applications:

- Reliable distributed systems (distributed transactions, fault tolerance, etc.)
- Coordination in massively parallel systems
- Bitcoin

Consensus is possible under different assumptions

- Consensus problem cannot be solved assuming
 - at least one node failing
 - reliable, asynchronous communication
 - deterministic node behaviour (work correctly or fail)

according to Fisher, Lynch and Patterson in 1985

- Pragmatic solutions exist 2-phase commit, PBFT, Google Chubby
- Bitcoin violates traditional assumptions:
 - Incentivize nodes to behave honestly (works well for a currency)
 - Randomness, non-deterministic behavior
 - No specific starting and ending point for consensus (eventual consensus)
- Bitcoin works better in practice than in theory, but theory would be important

Implicit Consensus in Bitcoin

Bitcoin consensus algorithm (assuming random node selection ability, not vulnerable to sybil attack):

- 1. Broadcast new transactions to all nodes
- 2. Each node collects transactions into a block
- 3. In each round a random node gets to broadcast its block
- 4. Other nodes accept transactions only if all transactions are valid (unspent, valid signatures)
- 5. Nodes express their acceptance by including its hash in the next block they create.

Double Spend Attack



Alice pays Bob

- Broadcasts transaction with Bob as receiver pointing to coin owned by Alice
- Transaction gets included into latest block by honest node
- Bob sees transaction confirmation in blockchain

Double spend

- Next random node to propose block controlled by Alice
- Ignores latest block with payment to Bob
- Creates new block pointing to previous block with transaction benefitting Alice'

- Can this attack be successful?
- Depends on whether long-term consensus agrees on the fraudulent block with the transaction $C_{Alice} \rightarrow Alice'$ or the honest one with $C_{_{Alice}} \rightarrow Bob gets included into the$ blockchain.

Which Block gets Inserted?



- Temporarily, there may be branches in the blockchain
- Honest nodes extend the longest branch of the blockchain
- Unclear directly after attack, both branches same length, both blocks are valid at this point in time
- Generally first block detected on network gets accepted, but can be either due to network latency
- So, potentially fraudulent branch will win and honest block gets orphaned
- May be helped by Alice bribing other nodes to build on her branch

How can Bob Protect himself against a Double Spending Attack?



When does Bob accept transaction as confirmed?

- Sees signed transaction on network → zero confirmation transaction, can easily be faked by malicious node
- Transaction in one block \rightarrow single confirmation
- Other blocks point to block with transaction → multiple confirmations
- More confirmations → higher probability that transaction is on consensus chain, ie. valid, careful payment receiver waits for a number of confirmations
- Probability for block not being on the consensus chain reduces exponentially with number of confirmations, 6 confirmations are common practice in Bitcoin

Can we Incentivize Nodes to Behave Honestly?

Block reward

- Node creating a block can include a special transaction creating coins
- Block reward only valuable, if included in consensus branch (like other transactions)
- Network follows longest branch rule, block reward incentivizes nodes to extend longest (consensus) branch

• Transaction fee

- Payers can choose to leave a small difference between coins spent and paid
- Nodes including transaction into block can pay this difference to themselves
- Will users include transaction fees to get good service? Unclear yet!
- Transaction fee will have to take over as incentives, when block rewards run out in 2034

Proof of Work

- Why wouldn't everybody create as many nodes as possible, get the incentives, or worse monopolize the consensus mechanism?
- We still don't know how to select a random node from an unknown set of nodes, no node ids, permissionless distributed ledger
- Approximate random selection by selecting nodes in proportion to an overall resource nobody can monopolize (we hope!)
 - Compute power: Proof of work
 - Ownership of currency: Proof of stake
- As opposed to permissioned distributed ledger, with identified node, and different consensus protocols (eg. PBFT for Hyperledger)

Proof of Work in Bitcoin: Hash Puzzles

Find nonce such that:

H(nonce || prev_hash || tx || tx || ... || tx) < target

- Puzzle-friendlyness → try different nonces one-by-one until condition satisfied
- "target" defines how hard the puzzle is
- No need to centrally select random node, nodes independently compete in finding nonce satisfying condition
- Nodes will statistically "win" proportionally to their power computing hashes

Bitcoin Blocks



- Block consists of block header, hash pointer to previous block, hash pointer to transaction tree
- Block header contains nonce, difficulty, timestamp, etc.

Bringing it Together ...



- Bootstrap problem: At the beginning Nakamoto was the only miner, bitcoin had little value, chain in secure
- Unclear, why bitcoin took off, probably good story, publicity

Bitcoin Summary





Agenda

- 1. Cryptocurrency fundamentals
- 2. Bitcoin transaction data on the blockchain
- 3. Ethereum the programmable blockchain
- 4. Algorand scalable distributed consensus & immediate finality
- 5. Applications & Market

Bitcoin & Ethereum

Similar:

- Blockchain
- Built-in cryptocurrency
- Proof-of-work-mining
- Public, permissionless

Differencies:

- Smaller blocks (~70 vs. ~2000 tx), lower block time (~14 s vs. ~10 m)
- Memory-bound (ETHHASH) vs. compute-bound proof of work (SHA-256)
- Fully programmable smart contracts vs. simple scripts / fixed transactions
- Unlimited ETH supply (some pre-mined) vs. limited BTC supply, no pre-mining
- Gas & gas price
- Accounts & transactions vs. transactions & UTXOs

Ethereum accounts and transactions



- Ethereum accounts
 - External accounts, controlled through private key, balance in ether (ETH), can send transactions (transfer ETH or trigger contract code), state: balance
 - Contract accounts, has code (smart contract), code execution triggered by transactions or messages from other contract accounts, state: balance, can send messages to other accounts
- Transaction
 - Value, data, gas & gas price

Smart Contracts

contract ProofOfExistence {

```
// key/value store mapping doc hashes to booleans
mapping (bytes32 => bool) privateproofs;
// calculate document hash and store the proof for a document
// state changing function
function notarize(string document) {
    proofs[sha256(document)] = true;
}
// check if a document has been notarized
// non-state changing function
function checkDocument(string document) constant returns (bool) {
    return proofs[sha256(document)]; //unmapped value is always 0 (=false)
}
```

- Contract programming Language: Solidity
- Contracts similar to classes: combining state and functionality
- Ethereum virtual machine (EVM)
- Uses gas for execution, gas price x amount of gas pays miner
- Limited gas amount ensures termination despite turing completeness of language

Merkle Patricia Tree for State Key Value Store



https://easythereentropy.wordpress.com/2014/06/04/understanding-the-ethereum-trie/, 7.11.2017 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radix_tree, 7.11.2017 https://ethereum.stackexchange.com/questions/6415/eli5-how-does-a-merkle-patricia-trie-tree-work, 7.11.2017

Ethereum Blocks



• Trees linked between blocks on a node, efficient changes just for changed state

Agenda

- 1. Cryptocurrency fundamentals
- 2. Bitcoin transaction data on the blockchain
- 3. Ethereum the programmable blockchain
- 4. Algorand scalable distributed consensus & immediate finality
- 5. Applications & Market

Limitations of Bitcoin & Co.

- Enormous waste of compute resources / energy
- Concentration of power with large miners / mining pools
- Scalability (Bitcoin 7 Tx/s), others faster but limited
- Forks, eventual consistency
- Anonymity

Various approaches to improve:

- Permissioned ledgers
- Alternative mining puzzles
- Different block parameters
- Sidechains
- Fundamental: Algorand

https://www.coindesk.com/information/what-is-the-difference-between-open-and-permissioned-blockchains/ http://faculty.cs.tamu.edu/bettati/Courses/489CryptoCurrencies/2017A/Slides/AlternativeMiningPuzzles.pdf https://blockstream.com/sidechains.pdf

Algorand: High-level structure



Randomly selected committee runs distributed consensus, signs consensus block, propagates signatures

Self selecting committees

- Committee selects itself through cryptographic lottery (fair, winner can proof he won)
- User i part of committee r if and only if:
 - . H(sign(sk_i, Q_r)) < p



- No communication needed: scalable
- Only user i knows, he is on committee
- Can proof by propagating sign(sk_i, Q_r)

Scalable distributed consensus

- 9 communication steps needed to reach consensus on block, or return 0 block
- Committee can be different in each round (cannot be bribed)
- $\frac{2}{3}$ of money needs to be honest
- Forks with negligible probability
- Fulfills all properties of distributed consensus
- Speed depends on network latency, but 100x bitcoin tx throughput seems feasible
- x000 committee size, round requires each member to send/revieve short message
- practical tx conformation below 60s

Scalable, permission-less, but consensus-driven, no forks, finality, low-latency

Agenda

- 1. Cryptocurrency fundamentals
- 2. Bitcoin transaction data on the blockchain
- 3. Ethereum the programmable blockchain
- 4. Algorand scalable distributed consensus & immediate finality
- 5. Applications & Market

BTC/ETH market

- Total market cap all currencies: ~200 · 10⁹ \$
- Total volume 24h: ~ $10 \cdot 10^9$ \$
- Money supply M1 Germany: 2 · 10¹² \$
- TARGET2 (ECB) volume 24h: 1.8 · 10¹² €





Blockchain venture capital



Largest blockchain startups

Most prominent blockchain companies determined by total equity funding from VCs \$140M CIRCLE Consumer P2P payments application Last Round: \$60.0M Series D, 6/2016 Total Raised: \$136.0M 6 coinbase \$120M 21 Inc Bitcoin mining network and micropayment marketplace Digital currency wallet and exchange for in Last Round: \$11.0M Series D, 7/2016 Total Raised: \$117.7M Last Round: \$116.0M Series B, 3/2015 Total Raisect \$121.1M ripple \$100M Enables banks to pro enterprise payment solutions Last Round: \$55.0M Series B, 9/2016 Total Raised: \$93.6M Amount Raised BitFury nd: \$30.0M Series C, 1/2017 Iotal Raised: \$90.0M \$80M Blockstream Total. ckchains, known as sidecha Round: \$55.0M Series A, 2/2 Total Raised: \$76.0M BLOCKCHAIN ~> Bitcoin wallet Last Round: \$39.8M Series B, 6/2017 Total Raised: \$70.3M **Digital Asset** vate distributed ledgers fo \$60M low-cost, instant transaction settlement Last Round: \$7/IM Series A (II), 6/2016 Total Raised: \$67.2 M veem (1) Chain Farmity Age Common Low-cost international bank transfers for businesses st Round: \$25.0M Series B, 3/2017 Total Raised: \$45.3M to help develop enterprise apps Last Round: \$30M Series B, 9/20 Total Raised: \$43.7M xapo \$40M SETL.io Bitcoin wallet and vault Last Round: \$20.3M Series A (II), 7/2014 Total Reised: \$40.3M bitFlyer n-based settlement platform nd: \$39.0M Series A, 7/2006 otal Raised: \$39.0M in exchange and marketplace sund: \$1.8M Series C (II), 2/2017 Total Raised: \$36.1M bitpay PAXOS JUZIX FILAMENT coin payments processor sund: \$30.0M Series A, 5/2014 Total Raised: \$32.7M in-based securities in-based settlement pla ind: \$23.0M Series A. 9/ ardware and software using blockchain for IOT assets Round: \$15.0M Series A, 3/2017 Total Raised: \$22.8M Last Round: \$25.0M Series A, 5/2015 Total Raised: \$28.3M Total Raised \$23.0M \$20M QUOINE tocurrency trading platform und: \$20.0M Series A, 6/2016 Total Raised: \$22.0M **N** AXONI tracpay Private distributed ledger: B28 supply (for financial institutions Last Round: \$20.0M Series A. 5/2017 Total Raised; \$20.0M and payments Last Round: \$15.0M Series B, 9/2014 Total Raised: \$19.0M \$0M Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 2014 2015 2016 2017 Date of Latest Funding Round Sources: Crunchbase, Capital IQ, Architect Partners

DACH startups



Zürich startups

procivis

- E-Government on blockchain
- Digital identity
- E-Voting
- Collaboration with university of Zürich



- Trade finance on smart contracts
- Project batavia
- UBS & IBM founding partner
- Today with Caixa, Erste Bank, Bank of Montreal, Commerzbank



- Quality-ensured pharmaceutics delivery chain
- Blockchain and IoT
- Spin-off from university of Zürich



- Clearing and settlement for OTC financial instruments in Switzerland
- Partnering with Hochschule Luzern

Initial Coin Offering

- Initial Coin Offering (like Initial Public Offering / IPO)
- Basically Crowdfunding / collecting donations in digital currency
- Founders of new currency sell digital tokens of a future currency or promises for future services agains established digital currencies (BTC, ETH) or fiat currencies
- Buyers speculate on fast value increase of the new coins
- In contrast to classical capital market transactions, this is unregulated
- Example TEZOS:
 - Breitman couple founds company to build new, better blockchain
 - ICO results in 232M\$ for Zug based foundation (today > 400M)
 - Breitmans get substantial amount for preliminary work
 - Conflict between founders and foundation jeopardizes project, money potentially lost

https://tokenmarket.net/

https://www.tezos.com/

https://www.finews.com/news/english-news/29275-tezos-swiss-foundation-zug-battle-arthur-breitman-kathleen-breitman-johann-gevers-crypto-ico

Conclusion

- Overview of a fascinating field in computer science, that has developed only in the last 10 years
- Core technology for Fintech
- Huge economic potential
- Towards the transactional Internet
- Elegant link between very theoretical results and very meaningful applications in key fields of computer science
- Something every young computer scientist should understand as it will be important for future jobs in IT, particularly:
 - Finance / Fintech
 - IT Security

Danke!

