



IT quality and software reliability focus: load- and performancetest

Ringvorlesung TU Dresden

Dresden, 2017-12-04

Agenda

- 1. profi.com AG
- 2. software development
- 3. why Load & perfomrance testing?
- 4. Stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. Choose the right tools
- 6. Execute and analyze the results
- 7. Summary





Agenda

1. profi.com AG

- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary



From physics to IT consultancy?!





Background Mathematical/Natural science, and?



- Understanding for numbers and their relations
- Doing conceptual things from scratch
- Be focussed to do the right things at the right time
- Having the correct ratio of looking left and right vs. being focussed
- Creating models
- Giving talks

Speakers

- Dr. Jan Sickmann
 - IT Consultant
 - focus: load- and performancetest

- Dr. Carsten Neise
 - Senior IT Consultant
 - focus: data management







DID YOU KNOW, THAT WE...



- were founded 16 years ago
- have more than 75 specialists in all disciplines
- daily testing over 450.000 lines of "new " sourcecode
- do load & performance tests up to 100.000 virtual users per application
- provide our services to all sizes of compagnies, big and small
- are MicroFocus Platinum Partner for Applications and IT Operations Software

OUR PORTFOLIO





QUALITY MANAGEMENT SERVICES



- Objective evaluation of methods like model-based testing, test automation, modularization of tests, outsourcing and mobile testing
- Identification of optimization potential
- Analysis of all existing systems and all processes established in your business
- Conception regarding the introduction of the new lifecycle management tool
- Individual product and method training sessions for your employees
- Early life support during the introduction

CLOUD SERVICES

- Strategic consulting during the introduction of cloud computing in your business
- Conception and implementation of an appropriate system architecture
- Administration and operation of virtual infrastructures
- Advice on questions regarding contract design, copyright law, IT security and data privacy
- Integration of deployment processes for cloud services within your corporate IT
- Automation of basic system administration tasks up to the integration into the automated build, deployment and test processes in the cloud

11

OUR PARTNER

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Platinum Partner



Gold Application Lifecycle Management











12

OUR CUSTOMERS



Volkswagen Bank

METRO Group Information Technology





DAIMLER

HAUFE.





LOXWARE

Endress+Hauser

ERGO



ThyssenKrupp



OUR CUSTOMERS





















Agenda

1. profi.com AG

- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary



Classic models – V-Model

V-Model



Acceptance User Acceptance Test Plan Testing Requirement System Testing Software System Test Plan Application **High Level** Integration Integration testing plan Validation Verification Testing Design Unit **Detailed Design** Unit Testing testing plan Coding t~a

Modern models – Scrum Framework





Different requirements



| University | Industry |
|--|--|
| Stand alone development, often only single development | Development in groups, distributed all over the world. |
| Tasks for implementing can seldomly split into parallel subtasks which can be done by different persons (VIM, Xemacs,) | Tasks are offen split in several subtasks which are implemented by several programmers (Visual Studio, IntelliJ, Eclipse,) |
| Code has to be very performant, fast for numerous calculations | Depending on exakt use-case, but code performance is not such an big issue as in university |
| Fortran, C++, Ruby, Python, | .NET, Java |
| Very accurate and exact code | Software has to be released very fast , bugs are often taken into account |
| GUI not really in scope | GUI matters |

Fundamental test process (ISTQB)





Levels of software test - TMMi

The five levels of TMMi





www.proficom.de

Agenda

- 1. profi.com AG
- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary



Why load & performance testing?





Biggest web failures in 2016*





*https://www.blazemeter.com/blog/biggest-web-failures-2016-and-2017-resolutions

www.proficom.de

Biggest web failures in 2016*









*https://www.blazer

Due to an overwhelming amount of traffic, a wait room has been activated. Get #RogueOne tickets soon, you will. fandango.com/rogueone 7:26 AM - 28 Nov 2016

ld-2017-resolutions

The cost of poor web performance*





THE COST OF POOR WEB PERFORMANCE

Slow load times aren't just a minor annoyance or a convenient time to check your teeth for food particles. They're a major burden to your site's traffic and conversion, driving up bounce rates and turning visitors off of your brand. Find out the true cost of poor web performance.

*Kendal Peiguss, smartbear.com, Nov 30, 2012 https://blog.smartbear.com/web-performance/ the-cost-of-poor-web-performance-infographic/



The cost of poor web performance*



Research found out that 1 sec increase in response time could cause...



The cost of poor web performance*



Research found out that 1 sec increase in response time could cause...





Performance vs. load vs. stress testing



Interactive Schedule Graph



Performance vs. load vs. stress testing



Interactive Schedule Graph



Types of performance testing



| Component testing | Find the behavior and performance of each tier |
|-------------------|---|
| Load testing | Determine if the system handles anticipated real- world load |
| Stress testing | Find system break point; measure if system environment is properly configured for unexpected, high-transaction volume |
| Volume testing | Check system stability under extended periods of load |



Examples of Performance Test Objectives

- Application response time
- Coniguration sizing
- Regression
- Reliability
- Capacity planning
- Bottleneck identification

Functional vs. non functional testing



| Funct | onal testing | Non functional testing | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Goal | Example | Goal | Example | | | | |
| Functionality | nctionality Do business processes still match the requirements after an | | Does the response time at 1,000 parallel requests match the requirements? | | | | |
| implementation? | | Stability | Does 1,000 parallel requests influence the system over a certain time? | | | | |
| | | Functionality under load | Do all business processes still work correctly under load? | | | | |

Functional vs. non functional testing



| Functior | nal testing | Non functional testing | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Goal | Example | Goal | Goal | | | | |
| Functionality | Do business processes still match the requirements after an | Performance under load | Does the response time at 1,000 parallel requests match the requirements? | | | | |
| implementation? Keep an eye on basic performance (single user) | | Stability | Does 1,000 parallel requests influence the system over a certain time? | | | | |
| even duning ru | | Functionality under load | Do all business processes still work correctly under load? | | | | |

Functional vs. non functional testing



| Functio | nal testing | Non functional testing | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Goal | Example | Goal | Goal | | | | |
| Functionality | Do business processes still match the requirements after an | Performance under load | Does the response time at 1,000 parallel requests match the requirements? | | | | |
| implementation? Keep an eye on basic performance (single user) | | Stability | Does 1,000 parallel requests influence the system over a certain time? | | | | |
| even during ru | | Functionality under load | Do all business processes still work correctly under load? | | | | |

Agenda

- 1. profi.com AG
- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary













Defining Goals



- Start with conceptual goals
- Jotting down goals that can't be measured will allow later filtering to create more focused goals
- Examples:
 - Responsivness of a search function: Are we able to get search results within a reasonable time?
 - System administrator's concern if the "Update" transaction functions during heaving usage.

etc.

Quantifying Goals



| Conceptual goal | Quantitive goal |
|--|--|
| The search must be fast enough | Search transaction time 5 sec for 2,000 concurrent users during peak hours (8-10 a.m.) |
| Confirm that save function work under heavy traffic | Achive 300 concurrent users for save function at peak time (noon) |

Business process matrix



| Business process | Users (typical day) | Users (peak time) | Dynamic content | Mission critical | Test |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|
| Sign in | 70/hr | 210/hr | Low | High | ? |
| Creating new accounts | 10/hr | 15/hr | Moderate | Low | ? |
| Searches for artists | 130/hr | 180/hr | Moderate | Moderate | ? |
| View artist's page | 20/hr | 30/hr | Moderate | High | |
| Purchase MP3s | 40/hr | 90/hr | High | High | ? |

Defining a real world load



 Concurrency = Number of users acting (!) on the application at the same time (!)

Application level

• How many users are active on the system?

Business process level

- How many users are buying MP3s?
- Transaction level
 - How many users press "Buy MP3" now?

Defining a real world load



Do not focus on business processes/transactions or concurrent users alone!

Better:

- How many users
- execute which transactions (business process)
- during which time?
- Dervive from business process matrix and statistics
- Create an extended transaction/business process matrix

Creating a transaction matrix



| TRA | NSACTION MATRIX / | Load Te | est - Pl | lannir | ng / Cal | culation | 1 | | | | | | | urrent Vus | er (Virtual L | Jser) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------|--------|------------|------------|------------|----------|---------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|--------------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|------|
| | Oracle France (| | - | | 00000 | | | | D | | MCD Tie | m Do e | | rocess | QUO P-ID C | alc | Process | Deser | | HOD AIL | TREC | |
| | Oracle Forms 1 | IG (MC | RIM | ERE | CORDIN | G) | | | Proces | 58 | MORTH | enec | | /user max | 132 | VUser | Gloup Vuser max | Proce | 88 | MCRAI | TREC | |
| | | | | | | | | | Group | | Normal | Activ 1 | 00% | teration / h | 3,9 Iter | /h/VUser | Iteration / h | Group | Group MCR All TRE | | TREC | 1 |
| Busi | iness Process - Navio | ation | | (| Complex | itv F | Respons | e Time | Vuser | max | 8 | 00 VU: | ser | TA/heration | TA/h | TA/s | TA/Iteration | | | | | |
| Actio | n / Uro Coron | | | | Emaulan | | | Accept | Baratia | m/h | 2.4 | tor /h/ | /llear | | | 1 | - | 800 VUser | | | er 👘 | |
| ACIIO | IT use Gases | | | - | riequen | y ' | | лосері | neratio | M17 11 | 2,4 | er./II/ | ruser | | | | | H | | | | |
| Nr. | Transaction Name (TA) | selected | 1 | 1 | high/mid/l | ow < | ins | < IN S | TAlle | ration | TA/I | 1 | TA/s | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | TA | / h | TA / min | | A/s |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | - | | | | |
| Use | Cases Modul "MCR T | ime Re | corder | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actio | on 1 mcr login | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | + | | | | |
| 1 | Start Url | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1889 | | 0,52 | | | | | 18 | 89 | 31,48 | 0 |),52 |
| 2 | Login | | | + | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1889 | | 0,52 | | | | | 1889 31,48 | | 0 | 0,52 | |
| Actio | on 2 mcr time recordi | ng nav | | + | | | | | | | | | , | 1 | | | | | | | | - |
| 3 | Input <trec> and ent</trec> | ter | | + | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1889 | , | 0,52 | | | | | 18 | 89 | 31,48 | 0 |),52 |
| Actio | on 3 mcr timere cordin | ig (loop |) | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | - | - | | | | |
| 4 | Edit Task 1 <commen< td=""><td>t><hou< td=""><td>rs></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>3777</td><td></td><td>1,05</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2">3777 62,95</td><td>1</td><td colspan="2">1.05</td></hou<></td></commen<> | t> <hou< td=""><td>rs></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>3777</td><td></td><td>1,05</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2">3777 62,95</td><td>1</td><td colspan="2">1.05</td></hou<> | rs> | + | | | | | 2 | 2 | 3777 | | 1,05 | | | | | 3777 62,95 | | 1 | 1.05 | |
| 5 | Edit Task 2 <hours></hours> | | | + | | | | | 2 | 2 | 3777 | - | 1,05 | | | | | 37 | 77 | 62,95 | 1 | ,05 |
| Action | 6 quo calc oren (loon) | | | | | - | — • | | | | | - <u></u> | | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | - | .,- | |
| 15 Se | t Filter <no profile="" selected=""></no> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 16 Fil | ter P-ID calculation | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 17 Op | en P-ID calculation | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 18 Na | av Tree < Approvals>< SE3> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 19 PD | OF Report | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 20 Re | port Selection all | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 21 Re | port Selection press <canœ⊳< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>1366</td><td>0,38</td><td>1</td><td>511</td><td>0,14</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1876</td><td>31,27</td><td>0,52</td></canœ⊳<> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 22 Ta | lb <se3 approval="" overview=""></se3> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 23 Ta | b <se3 approval="" control="" workflow=""></se3> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 24 Ta | b <se3 approval="" global=""></se3> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| 25 SE | E3 <close></close> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| Action | 7 quo calc close (loop) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 Aq | uisition <close2></close2> | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 1366 | 0,38 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1876 | 31,27 | 0,52 |
| Action | 8 quo exit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 <e< td=""><td>kits</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>325</td><td>0,09</td><td>1</td><td>587</td><td>0,16</td><td>1</td><td>273</td><td>0,08</td><td>1</td><td>511</td><td>0,14</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1696</td><td>28,27</td><td>0,47</td></e<> | kits | | | | 1 | 325 | 0,09 | 1 | 587 | 0,16 | 1 | 273 | 0,08 | 1 | 511 | 0,14 | | | | 1696 | 28,27 | 0,47 |
| | Transacti | ions (Be | an uoste | a) per | Iteration | of Busi | iness P | rocess | 1 2 | 21 TA | / Iteratio | 0 | _ | h | | | | <u> </u> | Tot | al Transa | etion | |
| | randou | Roor | nonse 1 | Time 1 | Total ne | r Iterativ | on (One | llear) - | | 25.0 - | / Iteratic | | | - | | | | Т | /h | TA/min | | Δ/ο |
| - | | Thick | ctime b | otwoo | n the T | ansacti | one (ev | erane) | | 75.0 e | | | | 16 TA | / Iteration | | | - 10 | | 14110 | - | ~~~ |
| | | | | 1.0 | 7.1.1 | anoacti | 5115 (dv | enage/ | 1 - | 5,00 | | | | 30,0 s | / Iteration | | | - | | | | |
| + | | | Thir | nktime | l otal p | er Itera | tion (av | erage) + | 1 1 | 1500,0 | s / Itera | tion | | 60,0 s | / IA | | | 396 | 559 | 661 | 1 | 1,02 |
| 2 | Duration | of Bus | siness | Proce | ss per | Iteratio | n (One | User) 5 | 1 | 1525.0 | s / Itera | tion | | 900,0 | s / iteration | | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Documenting user steps and input data



Action 1 msp login



Action 5 msp price nay (loop)



Action 6 msp price planning (loop)

TA 14: Filter Profile

| | Polo Pino | - | | | | | | 6 | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| L | Price Plan | ning - Ov | rview | | | | | 2.B | V: Curre | INT PV D | IS(|
| | Flanning Version | - Current PV | 0500 | Faller Profile ALL | 0 | | | | | | |
| | Pathumber | Module-Prite | Invoice Legal Share | Mrx Customer Onsup | Invoice Customer | 80 | Statgr Description | P/N Description | Orum | ROOM | 00 |
| D | F05C000281 | | RECOOS | Britlance | Xinchen_CN | D9-81 | FRLINE | FRL/Will_Longkou Zhong | RECORCE | RECORCE | 0 |
| | 0445214222 | | RECO | OM | GMPT_DE | DIS-01 | HER-16 | CRIR-RAE-HER-16 | DEISON | DSISOM | 0 |
| | 0926402079 | | RBD6 | ECASONIH . | FCA Cento_IT | DIF-81 | IRL | IRL | Deen. | Deron | 0 |
| | F05C001583 | | REDO | Claimler | NB Trucks_DE | D09-68 | DNOX-CV - Dooing Mode | DNOX-CV - Dooing Modul | DESDT | DEISDY | 0 |
| | F05C000850 | | RBJPDS | Damier | FUSD_P | D9-83 | A-Pump-Vitil | A Pump-WB_DENBO COM | DERDT | DERECT | 0 |
| | F05C000540 | | REPOS | Dammer | Fune P | D9-82 | CP1+WD | CPN WE_DENSO CORP. | DSISDT | DSISOT | 0 |
| | F05C000712 | | REDE | OM | OMPT_DE | D08-68 | 000400 | OCU-WE_BorgWarner BE | DS/SOM | DSISSM | 0 |
| | F05F003358 | | RECODE | SNC | BAIC_CN | DS-82 | CP1H-18/3 | CP1H-18/3 | RECO/SCP | RECORD | 0 |
| | FDSF005088 | | HEDS | Hundai | HMC HOV, KR | D/9-81 | CRR-HERN+20 | CRR.RAL.HFRN-30 | D5/SKR | DESKR | 0 |
| | F05C001148 | | REPOS | ToyofaMote | Hino P | DI8-82 | CP-WE | CP-WE_DENDO CORPOR | 095.P | DS/SJP | 0 |
| | 0446800994 | | RECO | FCALCINE | FFT IN LMD_IT | D9-81 | CR#Q01 | CRINGOL. | DESFI | DERN | 0 |
| | F05C001314 | | RBJPOS | Calmiter | fuer_P | D9-84 | VH-MNP-W0 | VH-MNP-WE_MALIN_DE_ | DSISDT | DEISOT | 0 |
| | 0201006254 | | RECO | W | AUDICHU | DS-81 | CRR-POVS | CRIR FCV3-30 BF | DERIV | DBBW | 0 |
| | F05C001188 | | RECOOL | ChangAs | Changen_CN | 05-82 | CP-WB | CP-WB_DebN_DFP 1.3_ | RECORCE. | RECORCE | 0 |
| | F05C000748 | | REDE | Damiar | WEI Trucks_DE | 06-65 | DS-S-WETT | DS-S-WETT_Sound Press | DERDT | DS/SD/T | 0 |
| | F05C001105 | | REDS | WW | Scania_SE | 05-85 | DO-WETT | DO-WETT_SAB-ELEC | DISISMS | DERSWS | 0 |
| | 0285030712 | | RUPOS | RN | NISSAN P | D09-EC | ECU CR-PC-EDC170-M | EDC17C45 | DESRN | DEISRN | 0 |
| | 0445110597 | | RECE | EMV | BMW_AT | DS-R1 | CRID-18 | Magnetventil Injektor 1000 | DS/SBM | DSISEM | 0 |

TA 15: Part Number set < Eilter>

Parameter (PARTNUMBER.dat random)

| Profile Designation Default | | | | 08 | See in | Delete | |
|-----------------------------|------|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----|
| Filter Parameters | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | | Comparison Descator | Bachic | ten Annue | | | |
| Involce Legal Share | 1.14 | #210.0 | | 100000000 | | | |
| Invoice Customer Group | | | | | | | |
| Invoice Customer | 18 | | | | | | |
| Acquisition Customer Group | 1.00 | | 14 | | | | |
| Acquisition Customer | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| Business Unit | 100 | | 18 | | | | |
| Product Class | 19 | | | | | | (m) |
| Ballabi Ontop | 18 | | | _ | | | (A) |
| PartNumber | 100 | | 02810 | BACO. | | | |
| OLOW . | 18 | | | | | | (m) |
| REAM | 1.31 | | | | | | |
| Planning Retevant | - 8 | | | | | | (a) |
| Buppter | 18 | * | 8 | | | | (|
| Price Availability | 18 | * | | | | | (4) |

04.12.2017

Live Demo



. .

3

- 推進

1111111

www.proficom.de

.

04.12.2017

.

Determining valid test data



Sources:

Application Data

- Data is resident in the application's database
- Examples: ID numbers and passwords
- User-Generated Data
 - Originates with the user
 - Examples: new unique ID or email address
- External Data
 - Data is unknown before the application is run
 - Examples: confirmation and purchase order numbers

Monitoring system components





System performance monitors



- System resource monitors
- Network monitors
- Firewall monitors
- Webserver monitors
- Application server monitors
- Database monitors
- ERP/CRM monitors

| * • 🖺 • * * 🛛 • 🚰 🔂 Q- | SiteScope group - "Cocktailshop" | | | | | Dashboard Propertie | s Alerts | Reports |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| E-@ SiteScope | 🔢 🔩 🧱 🛍 <none></none> | 🔻 🚖 🔻 🍸 쯞 Current | Status Monitor History | 🗣 🖗 💋 🛛 | 🔝 17 🔝 📑 Mu | iti-View | | |
| E Cocktailshop | Name | ≐ Status Type | Target | Summary | Updated | Description | | |
| te cocktall-db.lan.proficom.de | ₽- 📴 Selected node | | | | | | | |
| T | Cocktailshop | 🜍 Group | | 4 in group, none in | 3/25/2014 5:15 PM | | | a |
| Testserver | 🕂 🔄 Groups (3 out of 4) | | | | | | | |
| . Health | - cocktail-db.lan.proficom.de | Sroup | | 4 in group, none in | 3/25/2014 5:15 PM | | | Q |
| | - cocktail-lb.lan.proficom.de | Sroup Group | | 4 in group, none in | 3/25/2014 5:09 PM | | | Q |
| | cocktail-web.lan.proficom.de | Sroup | | 4 in group, none in | 3/25/2014 5:10 PM | | | Q |
| | └ 🕎 Monitors (0 out of 0) | | | | | | | |

| 🕎 Monitors |
|---------------------|
| Remote Servers |
| Templates |
| Preferences |
| 🔥 Server Statistics |
| Tools |

Test environment



- Mirrors the production environment
- Is dedicated to performance testing only (no interference with production users)
 - Allows data to be written, read, destroyed
 - Allows test system to be rebooted
- Allows to run the business processes correctly (application code freeze)
- Must contain sufficient hardware to generate the defined load

Agenda

- 1. profi.com AG
- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary



LoadRunner on-premise











StormRunner





Agenda

- 1. profi.com AG
- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary











Test case density ~ load increases with number of users untill saturation of bottleneck device













Analyzing results



- Is there enough test hardware available in the test environment?
 - Benchmark business processes against the testing hardware
- Take a business process and execute a small number of users against the application.
 - Validates the functionality of the business process
 - Potentially exposes unknown data dependencies
- Evaluate the testing infrastructure against the footprint.
 - Do I have enough hardware to generate the user load?
 - Do I have enough memory?
 - Do I have enough CPUs?

Analyzing results



Example: Response time and CPU consumption



Agenda

1. profi.com AG

- 2. software development
- 3. why load & performance testing
- 4. stairway to load & performance testing
- 5. choose the right tools
- 6. execute and analyze the results
- 7. summary









Working

t~m



Functional testing

Performance & load testing

96

We are hiring!

students and graduates

+ trainee-program for graduates
+ positions for internship, working student, theses

professionals

+ IT-Consultant with different specializations
 + test automation, load and performance test, test data management and analysis
 + cloud engineering and automation

see www.proficom.de/karriere for more information!



Questions?




